arXiv:1406.6042v1 [math.AP] 23 Jun 2014

GLOBAL ANALYSIS OF A MODEL FOR CAPILLARY WATER WAVES IN 2D

ALEXANDRU D. IONESCU AND FABIO PUSATERI

Abstract. In this paper we prove a global regularity result for a quadratic quasilinear model associated to the water waves system with surface tension and no gravity in dimension two (the capillary waves system). The model we consider here retains most of the difficulties of the full capillary water waves system, including the delicate time-resonance structure and modified scattering. It is slightly simpler, however, at the technical level and our goal here is to present our method in this simplified situation. The full system is the subject of a forthcoming paper.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Free boundary Euler equations and capillary waves 1
1.2. Description of the model 3
1.3. Main result and ideas of the proof 4
2. Energy estimates, I: high Sobolev norms 7
3. Energy estimates, II: weighted norms 14
4. The L^∞ decay estimate 24
4.1. The normal form transformation 24
4.2. The profile f 27
4.3. Proof of Proposition 4.5 30
5. Proof of Lemma 4.6 31
5.1. Proof of (5.6) 33
5.2. Proof of (5.7) 36
5.3. Proof of (5.8) 38
Appendix A. Auxiliary estimates 42
References 43

1. Introduction

In this paper we are going to present a global existence result for small solutions of the Cauchy problem associated to a quasilinear fractional Schrödinger equation with a quadratic nonlinearity in one spatial dimension. Our main motivation comes from the study of the two-dimensional irrotational water waves system under the influence of surface tension, and without the effects of gravity.

1.1. **Free boundary Euler equations and capillary waves.** The evolution of an inviscid perfect fluid that occupies a domain $\Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for $n \geq 2$, at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is described by the free boundary

The first author was partially supported by a Packard Fellowship and NSF Grant DMS 1265818. The second author was partially supported by a Simons Postdoctoral Fellowship and NSF Grant DMS 1265875.

incompressible Euler equations. If v and p denote respectively the velocity and the pressure of the fluid (with constant density equal to 1) at time t and position $x \in \Omega_t$, these equations are:

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v \cdot \nabla v = -\nabla p - g e_n & x \in \Omega_t \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0 & x \in \Omega_t \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x) & x \in \Omega_0 \,, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where g is the gravitational constant. The free surface $S_t := \partial\Omega_t$ moves with the normal component of the velocity according to the kinematic boundary condition:

$$\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla \text{ is tangent to } \bigcup_t S_t \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \,. \tag{1.2a}$$

In the presence of surface tension the pressure on the interface is given by

$$p(x,t) = \sigma\kappa(x,t) \ , \ \ x \in S_t \,, \tag{1.2b}$$

where κ is the mean-curvature of S_t and $\sigma > 0$. In the case of irrotational flows, i.e.

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathrm{v} = 0 \,, \tag{1.3}$$

one can reduce (1.1)-(1.2) to a system on the boundary. Such a reduction can be performed identically regardless of the number of spatial dimensions, but here we only focus on the two dimensional case – which is the one we are interested in – and moreover assume that $\Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the region below the graph of a function $h : \mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_t \to \mathbb{R}$, that is $\Omega_t = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \, : y \le h(x,t)\}$ and $S_t = \{(x,y) : y = h(x,t)\}$.

Let us denote by Φ the velocity potential: $\nabla\Phi(x,y,t) = v(x,y,t)$, for $(x,y) \in \Omega_t$. If $\phi(x,t) := \Phi(x,h(x,t),t)$ is the restriction of Φ to the boundary S_t, the equations of motion reduce to the following system for the unknowns $h, \phi : \mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_t \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h = G(h)\phi \\ \partial_t \phi = -gh + \sigma \frac{\partial_x^2 h}{(1+h_x^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{2}|\phi_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2(1+|h_x|^2)}(G(h)\phi + h_x\phi_x)^2 \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

with

$$G(h) := \sqrt{1+|h_x|^2}\mathcal{N}(h) \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(h)$ is the Dirichlet–Neumann map associated to the domain Ω_t. We refer to [44, chap. 11] or [18] for the derivation of the water waves equations (1.4). This system describes the evolution of an incompressible perfect fluid of infinite depth and infinite extent, with a free moving (one-dimensional) surface, and a pressure boundary condition given by the Young-Laplace equation.

1.1.1. *Previous works.* The system (1.1)-(1.2) has been under very active investigation in recent years. Without trying to be exhaustive, we mention the early works on the wellposedness of the Cauchy problem in the irrotational case and with gravity by Nalimov [39], Yosihara [45], and Craig [17]; the first works on the wellposedness for general data in Sobolev spaces (also for irrotational gravity waves) by Wu [46, 47]; and subsequent works on the gravity problem by Christodoulou and Lindblad [12], Lannes [37], Lindblad [38], Coutand and Shkoller [15], Shatah and Zeng [42, 43], and Alazard, Burq and Zuily [2, 3]. Surface tension effects have been considered in the works of Beyer and Gunther [8], Ambrose and Masmoudi [7], Coutand and Shkoller [15], Shatah and Zeng [42, 43], Christianson, Hur and Staffilani [11], and Alazard, Burq and Zuily [1]. Recently, some blow-up scenarios have also been investigated [10, 9, 16, 30].

The question of long time regularity of solutions with irrotational, small and localized intial data was also addressed in a few works, starting with [48], where Wu showed almost global existence for the gravity problem in two dimensions (1d interfaces). Subsequently, Germain, Masmoudi and Shatah [22] and Wu [49] proved global existence of gravity waves in three dimensions (2d interfaces). Global regularity in 3d was also proven in the case of surface tension and no gravity by Germain, Masmoudi and Shatah [23]. Global smooth solutions for the gravity water waves system

in dimension 2 have been constructed by the authors in[1] [33]. Independently, a similar result was proved by Alazard and Delort [4, 5]. More recently a different proof of Wu's 2d almost global existence result was given by Hunter, Ifrim and Tataru [28], and later complemented to a proof of global regularity by Ifrim and Tataru in [29].

1.1.2. *Paralinearization.* In this section we describe the paralinearization of the system (1.4) with $g = 0$, following the work of Alazard, Burq and Zuily [1] on the local existence theory. We refer the reader to [6, 1, 2] for further details about this procedure.

Assume $(h, \phi) \in C(I : H^{N+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{N+1/2}(\mathbb{R}))$ is a real-valued solution of (1.4)–(1.5) with $g = 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} b &:= \frac{G(h)\phi + h_x\phi_x}{(1+h_x^2)}, \qquad v := \phi_x - Bh_x, \\ \omega &:= \phi - T_b h, \\ \sigma &:= (1+h_x^2)^{-3/2} - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where, for any $a, b \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have denoted by $T_a b$ the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(T_a b)(\xi) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{a}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{b}(\eta)\chi_0(\xi-\eta,\eta)\,d\eta, \\ \chi_0(x,y) &:= \sum_{k\geq 0} \varphi_k(y)\varphi_{\leq k-10}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

The function ω in (1.6) is the so-called "good-unknown" of Alinhac. Its relevance is apparent from the paralinearization formula for the Dirichlet-Neumann operator, which in the one-dimensional case is

$$G(h)\phi = |\partial_x|\omega - \partial_x T_v h + \widetilde{R}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\widetilde{R}$ denotes semilinear quadratic and higher order terms. Using (1.8) and symmetrizing[2] the system expressed in the natural energy variables $(|\partial_x|h, |\partial_x|^{1/2}\omega)$, one can reduce to the equivalent scalar equation

$$\partial_t u + i\Lambda(\partial_x) = -T_v\partial_x u + i\Sigma(u) + R, \qquad \Lambda(\xi) = |\xi|^{3/2}, \tag{1.9}$$

for a complex-valued unknown

$$u \sim i|\partial_x|h + |\partial_x|^{1/2}\omega. \tag{1.10}$$

Here v is given in (1.6), $i\Sigma$ can be thought of as a symmetric differential operator of order $3/2$ which is cubic in u, and R consists of semilinear quadratic and higher order terms.

1.2. **Description of the model.** It this paper we consider a simplification of the full equation (1.9). More precisely, let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be an even smooth function supported in $[-8/5, 8/5]$ and equal to 1 in $[-5/4, 5/4]$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$\varphi_k(x) := \varphi(x/2^k) - \varphi(x/2^{k-1}), \qquad \varphi_{\leq k}(x) := \varphi(x/2^k), \qquad \varphi_{\geq k}(x) := 1 - \varphi(x/2^{k-1}). \tag{1.11}$$

We define a high-low cutoff function χ, and an interaction symbol q_0, by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x,y) &:= \sum_{k\geq -10} \varphi_k(y)\varphi_{\leq k+10}(x)\varphi_{\geq k-10}(x+y), \\ q_0(\xi,\eta) &:= i\chi(\xi-\eta,\eta)|\xi-\eta|^{1/2}\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

[1]We refer the reader to our earlier paper [32] for the analysis of a simplified model (a fractional cubic Schrödinger equations), and to [34] for some additional details about the asymptotic behavior of the solutions constructed in [33].

[2]The symmetrization procedure in 2D is simpler than in the general case considered in [1].

We are interested in solutions $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{C}$, $T \geq 1$, of the quasilinear equation

$$\partial_t u + i\Lambda(\partial_x)u = \mathcal{N}, \qquad \Lambda(\xi) := |\xi|^{3/2}, \tag{1.13}$$

where the quadratic nonlinearity $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(u, \overline{u})$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\xi,t) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q_0(\xi,\eta)\widehat{V}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{u}(\eta,t)\,d\eta, \qquad V := u + \overline{u}, \tag{1.14}$$

and we have denote the spatial Fourier transform by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi,t) = \mathcal{F}(f(t,\cdot))(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi} f(x,t)\,dx.$$

Comparing (1.9) with (1.12)–(1.14) we see that the equations have the same linear part. Moreover, they have the same quadratic quasilinear structure, with one derivative on u and half-derivative on $u+\overline{u}$, since $v \sim \omega_x \sim |\partial_x|^{1/2}(u+\overline{u})$, see (1.6) and (1.10). In the quasilinear term $\mathcal{N}$ in (1.14) we allow quadratic interactions between frequencies of comparable size, in order to include all the possible resonant interactions of the full system and observe modified scattering.

On the other hand, in (1.12)–(1.14) we disregard some other semilinear quadratic interactions present in (1.9) (for example those with very small frequency output), and the harmless, cubically small, symmetric operator $i\Sigma(u)$. The motion for frequencies smaller than 2^{-30} is linear, since no small frequency ouput is present in the nonlinearity. The symbol q_0 is chosen to guarantee the existence of energy estimates for (1.13)–(1.14). This property, and the presence of half of a derivative on low frequency inputs, are the only two properties needed, and more general symbols could be easily included in our analysis.

1.3. **Main result and ideas of the proof.**

1.3.1. *The main result.* This is a precise statement of our result concerning the global regularity of (1.12)–(1.14):

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $N := 10$, $p_1 \in (0, 10^{-6}]$ is fixed, and the initial data $u_0 \in H^N(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the assumptions*

$$\|u_0\|_{H^N} + \|x\partial_x u_0\|_{H^2} + \sup_{k\leq 0}\big[2^{-k(1/2-p_1)}\|P_k u_0\|_{L^2} + 2^{-k(1/2-p_1)}\|P_k(x\partial_x)u_0\|_{L^2}\big] = \epsilon_0 \leq \overline{\epsilon}, \tag{1.15}$$

for some constant $\overline{\epsilon}$ sufficiently small (depending only on the value of p_0). Then there exists a unique global solution $u \in C([0,\infty) : H^N(\mathbb{R}))$ of the initial-value problem

$$\partial_t u + i\Lambda u = \mathcal{N}, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \tag{1.16}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is as in (1.14). In addition, with $S := 3t\partial_t + 2x\partial_x$, we have the global bounds

$$\sup_{t\in[0,\infty)}\big[(1+t)^{-p_0}\|u(t)\|_{H^N} + (1+t)^{-4p_0}\|Su(t)\|_{H^2} + (1+t)^{1/2}\|u(t)\|_{W^{3,\infty}}\big] \lesssim \epsilon_0, \tag{1.17}$$

for some $p_0 \in [p_1, 10^{-6}]$. Furthermore the solution possesses modified scattering behavior as $t \to \infty$.

Remark 1.2. *The solutions can also be defined on the time interval $(-\infty, 0]$ since the equations are time-reversible.*

Remark 1.3. *A more precise statement of modified scattering can be found in Lemma 4.6, (in particular in (4.46),(4.47) and (4.49)), where modified scattering is described in terms of an appropriately renormalized profile f, see (4.19),(4.3),(4.4), satisfying a cubic equation, see (4.36)–(4.38). Also, more precise bounds on the solution can be found in section 4.1, see for example Lemma 4.2, Remark 4.3, and Proposition 4.4. Moreover, from the bounds proven in section 4.1 and some standard arguments one can easily obtain asymptotics for the solution u in the physical space variables*[3] *(x,t).*

[3]See the note [34] for this type of argument carried out in the case of gravity water waves.

Remark 1.4. *The space of solutions described in* (1.15) *is important. We need to assume, of course, enough Sobolev regularity to construct strong solutions. The more important issue, however, is the assumption on low frequencies. We regard u as the basic variable, since it corresponds to the energy variables* $(Dh, D^{1/2}\omega)$ *coming from the main system* (1.4)*. Since the normal form transformation introduces singularities at low frequencies we need a stronger assumption at low frequencies than the smallness of the* L^2 *norm of u. At the same time, we would like to avoid assuming a momentum condition on u, which would correspond to the assumption* $\|D^{-1/2}u\|_{L^2} < \infty$ *in 1 dimension.*

Our choice $2^{-k(1/2-p_1)}\|P_k u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \overline{\epsilon}$ *in* (1.15) *accomplishes both of these goals. On one hand it is strong enough to allow us to control the singular terms arising from the normal form transformation. On the other hand, it is weak enough to avoid making a momentum assumption on u.*

The equation (1.12)–(1.14), like the full water waves system, is a time reversible quasilinear equation. In order to prove global regularity for solutions of the Cauchy problem for this type of equations, one needs to accomplish two main tasks:

- Propagate control of high frequencies (high order Sobolev norms);
- Prove pointwise decay of the solution over time.

In this paper we use a combination of improved energy estimates and asymptotic analysis to achieve these two goals. We carry out both parts of our analysis in Fourier space. More precisely, we use a "quasilinear I-method" to construct high order energies which can be controlled for long times. We then use Fourier analytical methods to prove sharp time decay rates. We describe these two main aspects of our paper below.

1.3.2. *Energy Estimates via a "Quasilinear I-Method".* In our model problem (1.12)–(1.14) one can take the basic quadratic high order energy functional $E_N(t) = \|u(t)\|_{H^N}^2$ as a starting point to construct local-in-time solutions[4]. It is then easy to show that, as long as solutions are of size ϵ, such energy functional is controlled for $O(\epsilon^{-1})$ times. In order to go past this local existence time, one needs to rely on the dispersive properties of solutions. One of the main difficulties in dealing with a one dimensional problem such as (1.12)–(1.14) comes from the slow dispersion, which is $t^{-1/2}$ for linear solutions.

A classical idea used to overcome the difficulties associated to slow dispersion is the use of normal forms [41], wherever these are available. The implementation of the method of normal forms is delicate in quasilinear problems, due to the potential loss of derivatives. It can be done in some cases, for example either by using carefully constructed nonlinear changes of variables (as in Wu [48]), or the "iterated energy method" of Germain–Masmoudi [21], or the "paradifferential normal form method" of Alazard–Delort, or the "modified energy method" of Hunter–Ifrim–Tataru [28].[5]

For (1.12)–(1.14) a normal form transformation is available since the only time resonances are when one of the interacting frequencies is zero. However, the superlinear dispersion relation makes these resonances very strong and the normal form singular.

In order to deal with the issue of slow decay and strong time resonances, we propose here a Fourier based approach to normal forms performed at the level of energy functionals, which we implement in a similar spirit to the I-method of Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao [13, 14]. See also the recent work [20].

We begin our analysis by looking the basic energy expressed in time-frequency space,

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^N |\widehat{u}(\xi,t)|^2\, d\xi + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^2 |\widehat{Su}(\xi,t)|^2\, d\xi, \tag{1.18}$$

[4]Constructing high order energies for the water problem (1.4) is much harder, but can be done, as shown in the several cited works on the local well-posedness of the equations.

[5]The second author would like to thank D. Tataru for a short discussion on the modified energy method used in [27] and [28], several months before the preprint [28] was available.

and calculating its evolution using the equation. After appropriate symmetrizations that avoid losses of derivatives we obtain

$$\partial_t E(t) = \text{semilinear cubic terms.} \tag{1.19}$$

We then define a cubic energy functional $E^{(3)}$ obtained by diving the symbols in the cubic expressions in (1.19) by the appropriate resonant phase function. This cubic functional is a perturbation of (1.18) on each fixed time slice, and by construction we have

$$\partial_t\big(E + E^{(3)}\big)(t) = \text{singular semilinear quartic terms.} \tag{1.20}$$

A similar construction (in the physical space using holomorphic coordinates) was performed in [28] in the case of gravity water waves, where there are no singularities in the resulting quartic integrals. In our case, however, there are strong time resonances, which lead to singularities of the form (low frequency)$^{-1/2}$ in the quartic integrals. To deal with these singularities we need to assume suitable low frequency structure on the solutions, see (1.15) and Remark 1.4.

Anticipating a sharp time-decay rate of $t^{-1/2}$ for our solution, we can then control the energy functionals, allowing slow growth in time.

1.3.3. *Decay and modified scattering.* Having established the L^2 bounds described above, we then move on to proving pointwise decay of solutions in sections 4 and 5. We write Duhamel's formula in Fourier space and study the nonlinear oscillations in the spirit of [22, 25, 32]. After a normal form transformation, a stationary phase analysis reveals that a correction to the asymptotic behavior[6] is needed, similarly to our previous works on gravity waves [32, 33]. However, the analysis here is more complicated because of the singularities introduced by the quadratic time resonances.

This is especially evident in this part of the argument where non L^2 based norms need to be estimated, and meaningful symmetrization cannot be performed. These singularities need to be dealt with at all stages of the argument: bounding the normal form that recasts the quadratic nonlinearity into a cubic one (subsection 4.1); controlling all cubic terms once the main asymptotic contribution is factored out (subsection 5.1); estimating the quartic terms arising from the renormalization of the cubic equation needed to correct the asymptotic behavior (subsection 5.3). Moreover, the convex dispersion relation creates additional cubic resonant interactions (subsection 5.2) which are not present in the case of a concave relation, such as in gravity water waves. Eventually, we are able to control uniformly, over time and frequencies, an appropriate norm of our solution, and obtain the necessary decay through an improved linear estimate, as well as modified scattering.

1.3.4. *The bootstrap.* The existence and uniqueness of local-in-time solutions for (1.12)–(1.14) can be proved in a standard fashion. Our global solutions are then constructed by a bootstrap argument which allows us to continue the local solutions. More precisely, we assume that u satisfies the bootstrap assumptions

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big[(1+t)^{-p_0}\|u(t)\|_{H^N} + (1+t)^{-4p_0}\|Su(t)\|_{H^2}\big] \le \epsilon_1, \tag{1.21}$$

and

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}(1+t)^{1/2}2^{4\max(k,0)}\|P_k u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \epsilon_1, \tag{1.22}$$

[6]Other examples of dispersive PDEs whose solutions exhibit a behavior which is qualitatively different from the behavior of a linear solution include the nonlinear Schr̈odinger and Hartree equations [26, 35, 32], the Klein-Gordon equation [19, 40], and the gravity water waves system [32, 33, 4, 29]. We refer the reader to [40, 33] for more references on related works on modified scattering for other 1-dimensional integrable models such as KdV, mKdV and Benjamin-Ono.

and the initial data assumptions (1.15), that is

$$\|u_0\|_{H^N} + \|x\partial_x u_0\|_{H^2} + \sup_{k\le 0}\big[2^{-k(1/2-p_1)}\|P_k u_0\|_{L^2} + 2^{-k(1/2-p_1)}\|P_k S u_0\|_{L^2}\big] \le \epsilon_0. \tag{1.23}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} &S := x\partial_x + (3/2)t\partial_t, \\ &N := 10, \qquad 0 < p_1 \le p_0 \le 10^{-6}, \qquad 0 < \epsilon_0 \ll \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_0^{2/3} \ll 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

We then aim to show that the a priori assumptions (1.21)–(1.22) can be improved to

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big[(1+t)^{-p_0}\|u(t)\|_{H^N} + (1+t)^{-4p_0}\|Su(t)\|_{H^2}\big] \lesssim \epsilon_0, \tag{1.25}$$

and

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} (1+t)^{1/2}2^{4\max(k,0)}\|P_k u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \tag{1.26}$$

This is done in the three main steps given by Proposition 2.1, 3.1 and 4.1.

In section 2 we improve the control on the H^N norm from (1.21) to (1.25) by implementing the "quasilinear I-method" described above. For this we only need the first a priori assumption in (1.21) and the decay assumption (1.22). In section 3 we improve the control on the $S^{-1}H^2$ norm by using all the a priori assumptions and the initial data assumptions (1.23). In section 4 we begin our proof of the decay estimate (1.26). We transform the unknown u to a new unknown v satisfying a cubic equation, and reduce matters to bounding the Z-norm, see (4.27), of the profile of v. This task is further reduced to the more technical Lemma 4.6, which is then proved in section 5.

2. Energy estimates, I: high Sobolev norms

In this section we prove the following:

Proposition 2.1. *If u satisfies* (1.21)–(1.23) *then*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} (1+t)^{-p_0}\|u(t)\|_{H^N} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \tag{2.1}$$

In other words, we improve the control of the high Sobolev energy norm in the bootstrap assumption (1.21). The rest of the section is concerned with the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Let $W := D^N u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t W + i\Lambda W = \mathcal{N}_N, \\ &\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N}_N)(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q_N(\xi,\eta)\widehat{V}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{W}(\eta)\,d\eta, \\ &q_N(\xi,\eta) = \frac{|\xi|^N}{|\eta|^N}q_0(\xi,\eta) = i\frac{|\xi|^N}{|\eta|^N}\chi(\xi-\eta,\eta)|\xi-\eta|^{1/2}\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We define the quadratic energy

$$E_N^{(2)}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{W}(\xi,t)|^2\,d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi,t)\widehat{\overline{W}}(-\xi,t)\,d\xi. \tag{2.3}$$

Using (2.2) and recalling that $V = u + \overline{u}$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}E_N^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\partial_t\overline{\widehat{W}(\xi)} + \partial_t\widehat{W}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{W}(\xi)}\,d\xi\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{N}_N}(\xi)} + \overline{\widehat{W}(\xi)}\widehat{\mathcal{N}_N}(\xi)\,d\xi\\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{W}(\eta)}\Big[\overline{q_N(\xi,\eta)}\overline{\widehat{V}(\xi-\eta)} + q_N(\eta,\xi)\widehat{V}(\eta-\xi)\Big]\,d\xi d\eta\\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{W}(\eta)}\big[\overline{q_N(\xi,\eta)} + q_N(\eta,\xi)\big]\Big[\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)} + \widehat{u}(\eta-\xi)\Big]\,d\xi d\eta.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

To eliminate cubic space-time integrals, we define the cubic energy

$$E_N^{(3)}(t) := \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi,t)\overline{\widehat{W}(\eta,t)}\big[m_N(\xi,\eta)\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta,t)} + \overline{m_N(\eta,\xi)}\widehat{u}(\eta-\xi,t)\big]\,d\xi d\eta \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$m_N(\xi,\eta) := -i\frac{\overline{q_N(\xi,\eta)} + q_N(\eta,\xi)}{|\xi|^{3/2} - |\eta|^{3/2} - |\xi-\eta|^{3/2}}. \tag{2.6}$$

Using (2.2) and (1.13) we calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_N^{(3)}(t) = A_1(t) + A_2(t) + A_3(t) + A_4(t), \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 :=& \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{W}(\eta)}m_N(\xi,\eta)\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)}\big(-i|\xi|^{3/2} + i|\eta|^{3/2} + i|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}\big)\,d\xi d\eta\\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{W}(\eta)}\overline{m_N(\eta,\xi)}\widehat{u}(\eta-\xi)\big(-i|\xi|^{3/2} + i|\eta|^{3/2} - i|\eta-\xi|^{3/2}\big)\,d\xi d\eta,\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$A_2 := \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_N}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{W}(\eta)}\big[m_N(\xi,\eta)\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)} + \overline{m_N(\eta,\xi)}\widehat{u}(\eta-\xi)\big]\,d\xi d\eta, \tag{2.9}$$

$$A_3 := \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{N}_N}(\eta)}\big[m_N(\xi,\eta)\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)} + \overline{m_N(\eta,\xi)}\widehat{u}(\eta-\xi)\big]\,d\xi d\eta, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$A_4 := \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{W}(\eta)}\big[m_N(\xi,\eta)\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\xi-\eta)} + \overline{m_N(\eta,\xi)}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\eta-\xi)\big]\,d\xi d\eta. \tag{2.11}$$

Using the definition (2.6), notice that

$$\begin{aligned}m_N(\xi,\eta)\big(-i|\xi|^{3/2} + i|\eta|^{3/2} + i|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}\big) &= \overline{m_N(\eta,\xi)}\big(-i|\xi|^{3/2} + i|\eta|^{3/2} - i|\eta-\xi|^{3/2}\big)\\ &= -\big[\overline{q_N(\xi,\eta)} + q_N(\eta,\xi)\big].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using also (2.4),

$$\frac{d}{dt}[E_N^{(2)} + E_N^{(3)}](t) = A_2(t) + A_3(t) + A_4(t). \tag{2.12}$$

The point of this identity is that the space-time integrals A_2, A_3, A_4 are quartic expressions (in terms of the variable u). We will estimate these expressions using the bootstrap assumptions (1.21) and (1.22). We need first suitable symbol-type estimates on the multiplier q_N, m_N.

Recall the definition, see [33],

$$S^\infty := \{m : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C} :\ m \text{ continuous and } \|m\|_{S^\infty} := \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(m)\|_{L^1} < \infty\}. \tag{2.13}$$

Clearly, $S^\infty \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$. The following lemma summarizes some simple properties of S^∞ symbols.

Lemma 2.2. *(i) If $m, m' \in S^\infty$ then $m \cdot m' \in S^\infty$ and*

$$\|m \cdot m'\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|m\|_{S^\infty} \|m'\|_{S^\infty}. \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover, if $m \in S^\infty$, $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a linear transformation, $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and $m_{A,v}(\xi,\eta) := m(A(\xi,\eta)+v)$ then

$$\|m_{A,v}\|_{S^\infty} = \|m\|_{S^\infty}. \tag{2.15}$$

(ii) Assume $p, q, r \in [1,\infty]$ satisfy $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$, and $m \in S^\infty$. Then, for any $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\|M(f,g)\|_{L^r} \lesssim \|m\|_{S^\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}, \tag{2.16}$$

where the bilinear operator M is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}\big[M(f,g)\big](\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi,\eta) \widehat{f}(\xi-\eta) \widehat{g}(\eta)\, d\eta.$$

In particular, if $1/p + 1/q + 1/r = 1$,

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} m(\xi,\eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) \widehat{h}(-\xi-\eta)\, d\xi d\eta \Big| \lesssim \|m\|_{S^\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q} \|h\|_{L^r}. \tag{2.17}$$

(iii) Moreover, if $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in [1,\infty]$ are exponents that satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4} = 1$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{f_1}(\xi) \widehat{f_2}(\eta) \widehat{f_3}(\rho-\xi) \widehat{f_4}(-\rho-\eta) m(\xi,\eta,\rho)\, d\xi d\rho d\eta \Big| \\ \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}} \|f_3\|_{L^{p_3}} \|f_4\|_{L^{p_4}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} m\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

See [33, Lemma 5.2] for the proof.

Given any multiplier $m : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ and any $k, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$m^{k,k_1,k_2}(\xi,\eta) := m(\xi,\eta) \cdot \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{k_1}(\xi-\eta) \varphi_{k_2}(\eta). \tag{2.19}$$

We recall that $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is an even smooth function supported in $[-8/5, 8/5]$ and equal to 1 in $[-5/4, 5/4]$, and for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\varphi_k(x) := \varphi(x/2^k) - \varphi(x/2^{k-1}), \qquad \varphi_{\le k}(x) := \varphi(x/2^k), \qquad \varphi_{\ge k}(x) := 1 - \varphi(x/2^{k-1}).$$

Moreover, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by P_k, $P_{\le k}$, and $P_{\ge k}$ the operators defined by the Fourier multipliers φ_k, $\varphi_{\le k}$, and $\varphi_{\ge k}$ respectively. Moreover, we let

$$P'_k := P_{k-1} + P_k + P_{k+1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi'_k := \varphi_{k-1} + \varphi_k + \varphi_{k+1}. \tag{2.20}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{X} := \{(k,k_1,k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : \max(k,k_1,k_2) - \operatorname{med}(k,k_1,k_2) \le 6\}, \tag{2.21}$$

and notice that $m^{k,k_1,k_2} \equiv 0$ unless $(k,k_1,k_2) \in \mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 2.3. *With q_0, q_N, and m_N defined as before, for any $k, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\|q_0^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} + \|q_N^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{k_1/2} 2^{k_2} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2), \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\|m_N^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{k_1/2} 2^{-k_2/2} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2), \tag{2.23}$$

where, for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_d(k,k_1,k_2) := \mathbf{1}_{[-d,\infty)}(k_2) \mathbf{1}_{[-d,\infty)}(k_2-k_1) \mathbf{1}_{[-d,d]}(k_2-k). \tag{2.24}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. The bounds (2.22) follow from the definitions and simple integration by parts arguments. To prove (2.23) we notice first that

$$(a+b)^{3/2}-b^{3/2}-a^{3/2}\in[ab^{1/2}/4,4ab^{1/2}]\qquad\text{if } 0\leq a\leq b. \tag{2.25}$$

Therefore, using standard integration by parts,

$$\Big\|\frac{1}{|\xi|^{3/2}-|\eta|^{3/2}-|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}}\cdot\varphi_k(\xi)\varphi_{k_1}(\xi-\eta)\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\Big\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim\frac{1}{2^{\min(k_1,k_2)}2^{\max(k,k_2)/2}}, \tag{2.26}$$

for any $k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}$.

The desired bound (2.23) follows from (2.22) and (2.26) if $k_1\geq\max(k,k_2)-40$. On the other hand, if

$$k_1\leq\max(k,k_2)-40,$$

then we may assume that $|k-k_2|\leq 4$ and write

$$\begin{aligned}
&[q_N(\eta,\xi)+\overline{q_N}(\xi,\eta)]\cdot\varphi_k(\xi)\varphi_{k_1}(\xi-\eta)\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\\
&=i|\xi-\eta|^{1/2}\varphi_k(\xi)\varphi_{k_1}(\xi-\eta)\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\Big[\frac{|\eta|^N\xi}{|\xi|^N}\chi(\eta-\xi,\xi)-\frac{|\xi|^N\eta}{|\eta|^N}\chi(\xi-\eta,\eta)\Big]\\
&=i|\xi-\eta|^{1/2}\frac{\varphi_k(\xi)\varphi_{k_1}(\xi-\eta)\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\xi\eta}{|\xi|^N|\eta|^N}\big[\eta^{2N-1}\chi(\eta-\xi,\xi)-\xi^{2N-1}\chi(\xi-\eta,\eta)\big].
\end{aligned}$$

This shows easily that

$$\big\|[q_N(\eta,\xi)+\overline{q_N}(\xi,\eta)]\cdot\varphi_k(\xi)\varphi_{k_1}(\xi-\eta)\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\big\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{3k_1/2},$$

and the desired bound (2.23) follows using also (2.26). □

Remark 2.4. *In certain estimates we need more precise asymptotics for the symbols q_N and m_N. More precisely, if*

$$k_1+40\leq\max(k,k_2)\qquad\text{and}\qquad|k-k_2|\leq 4, \tag{2.27}$$

then

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[\big(q_N(\xi,\eta)-i|\xi-\eta|^{1/2}\xi\big)\cdot\varphi_{k_1}(\xi-\eta)\varphi_k(\xi)\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\big]\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{3k_1/2}, \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big[\Big(m_N(\xi,\eta)+\frac{4N-2}{3}\frac{|\xi-\eta|^{1/2}\xi}{|\xi|^{3/2}}\Big)\cdot\varphi_{k_1}(\xi-\eta)\varphi_k(\xi)\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\Big]\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{k_1-k_2}. \tag{2.29}$$

The proofs of these estimates are similar to the proofs in Lemma 2.3.

We show now that the cubic energy $E_N^{(3)}$ is small compared to the quadratic energy $E_N^{(2)}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Assuming the bounds* (1.21) *and* (1.22)*, for any $t\in[0,T]$ we have*

$$E_N^{(3)}(t)\lesssim\epsilon_1 E_N^{(2)}(t). \tag{2.30}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.5. We use Lemma 2.2 (ii) and Lemma 2.3. More precisely, using also the definition (2.5),

$$E_N^{(3)}(t)\lesssim\sum_{(k,k_1,k_2)\in\mathcal{X}}\|P_k'W(t)\|_{L^2}\|P_{k_2}'W(t)\|_{L^2}\|P_{k_1}'u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|m_N^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim\|W(t)\|_{L^2}^2\epsilon_1,$$

and the desired conclusion follows. □

We estimate now the functions $A_2(t)$, $A_3(t)$, and $A_4(t)$ defined in (2.9)–(2.11).

Lemma 2.6. *Assuming the bounds* (1.21) *and* (1.22)*, for any $t\in[0,T]$ we have*

$$|A_2(t)|+|A_3(t)|+|A_4(t)|\lesssim\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-1+2p_0}. \tag{2.31}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.6. Using the definitions and simple changes of variables, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_N}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\eta-\xi)m_N(\xi,-\eta)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_N}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{u}(-\eta-\xi)\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\sigma)q_N(\xi,\sigma)[\widehat{u}(\xi-\sigma)+\widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi-\sigma)]\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\eta-\xi)m_N(\xi,-\eta)\,d\xi d\eta d\sigma\\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\sigma)q_N(\xi,\sigma)[\widehat{u}(\xi-\sigma)+\widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi-\sigma)]\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{u}(-\eta-\xi)\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\xi)\,d\xi d\eta d\sigma\\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)[\widehat{u}(\rho-\xi)+\widehat{\overline{u}}(\rho-\xi)]\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\rho-\eta)q_N(\rho,\xi)m_N(\rho,-\eta)\,d\rho d\eta d\xi\\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)[\widehat{u}(\rho-\xi)+\widehat{\overline{u}}(\rho-\xi)]\widehat{u}(-\rho-\eta)q_N(\rho,\xi)\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\rho)\,d\rho d\eta d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_N}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\eta-\xi)m_N(\xi,-\eta)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_N}}(\eta)\widehat{u}(-\eta-\xi)\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\eta-\xi)m_N(\xi,-\eta)\overline{q_N}(-\eta,-\sigma)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\sigma)[\widehat{u}(\eta-\sigma)+\widehat{\overline{u}}(\eta-\sigma)]\,d\xi d\eta d\sigma\\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{u}(-\eta-\xi)\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\xi)\overline{q_N}(-\eta,-\sigma)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\sigma)[\widehat{u}(\eta-\sigma)+\widehat{\overline{u}}(\eta-\sigma)]\,d\xi d\eta d\sigma\\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\rho-\xi)[\widehat{u}(\rho-\eta)+\widehat{\overline{u}}(\rho-\eta)]m_N(\xi,-\rho)\overline{q_N}(-\rho,-\eta)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta\\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{u}(-\rho-\xi)[\widehat{u}(\rho-\eta)+\widehat{\overline{u}}(\rho-\eta)]\overline{m_N}(-\rho,\xi)\overline{q_N}(-\rho,-\eta)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, after rearranging and combining terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_2+A_3 = B_1+B_2+B_3,\\
&B_1 := \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\rho-\xi)\widehat{u}(-\rho-\eta)q_N(\rho,\xi)[\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\rho)-m_N(\rho,-\eta)]\,d\xi d\rho d\eta,\\
&B_2 := \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\rho-\xi)\widehat{V}(\rho-\eta)[m_N(\xi,-\rho)-\overline{m_N}(-\rho,\xi)]\overline{q_N}(-\rho,-\eta)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta,\\
&B_3 := \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\rho-\xi)\widehat{V}(-\rho-\eta)[q_N(\rho,\xi)m_N(\rho,-\eta)+\overline{q_N}(\rho,-\eta)\overline{m_N}(\rho,\xi)]\,d\xi d\rho d\eta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

We can also rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_4 = B_4+B_5,\\
&B_4 := \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\rho-\xi)\widehat{u}(-\rho-\eta)\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\xi)q_0(-\xi-\eta,-\rho-\eta)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta,\\
&B_5 := \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{W}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{W}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\rho-\xi)\widehat{V}(\rho-\eta)m_N(\xi,-\eta)\overline{q_0}(\xi+\eta,\xi+\rho)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

For (2.31) it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{5} |B_l(t)| \lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-1+2p_0} \tag{2.34}$$

for any $t \in [0,T]$. We use Lemma 2.2 (iii). It follows that

$$|B_l| \lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} \|P'_{k_1} W\|_{L^2} \|P'_{k_2} W\|_{L^2} \|P'_{k_3} u\|_{L^\infty} \|P'_{k_4} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(b_l^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4})\|_{L^1} \tag{2.35}$$

for $l \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho) &:= q_N(\rho,\xi)[\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\rho) - m_N(\rho,-\eta)] \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho),\\
b_2^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho) &:= \overline{q_N}(\rho,-\eta)[m_N(\xi,\rho) - \overline{m_N}(\rho,\xi)] \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho),\\
b_3^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho) &:= [q_N(\rho,\xi) m_N(\rho,-\eta) + \overline{q_N}(\rho,-\eta)\overline{m_N}(\rho,\xi)] \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho),\\
b_4^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho) &:= \overline{m_N}(-\eta,\xi) q_0(-\xi-\eta,-\rho-\eta) \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho),\\
b_5^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho) &:= m_N(\xi,-\eta)\overline{q_0}(\xi+\eta,\xi-\rho) \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho),\\
\widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho) &:= \varphi_{k_1}(\xi)\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\varphi_{k_3}(\rho-\xi)\varphi_{k_4}(-\rho-\eta).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

We will show that

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(b_l^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4})\|_{L^1} \lesssim 2^{-|k_1-k_2|/4}(2^{k_3/4} + 2^{3.9k_3})(2^{k_4/4} + 2^{3.9k_4}), \tag{2.37}$$

for any $l \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ and for any $k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assuming these bounds, the desired estimates (2.34) follow from (2.35) and the bootstrap assumptions (1.22) and (1.23).

To prove (2.37) we notice first that if

$$\text{if} \quad f(\xi,\eta,\rho) = f_1(\xi,\rho) f_2(\eta,\rho) \quad \text{then} \quad \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} f_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} f_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{2.38}$$

Therefore, using (2.23) and (2.22),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[q_N(\rho,\xi) m_N(\rho,-\eta) \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho)\big] \Big\|_{L^1} &\lesssim \sum_{k',k'' \in \mathbb{Z},\, |k'-k''| \le 4} \|q_N^{k',k_3,k_1}\|_{S^\infty} \|m_N^{k'',k_4,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{k',k'' \in \mathbb{Z},\, |k'-k''| \le 4} 2^{k_3/2} 2^{\min(k_1,k')} 2^{-2|k_1-k'|} \cdot 2^{k_4/2} 2^{-\max(k_2,k'')/2} 2^{-2|k_2-k''|}\\
&\lesssim 2^{k_3/2} 2^{k_4/2} 2^{\min(k_1,k_2)/2} 2^{-|k_1-k_2|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Similarly, using also the identities $\overline{q_N} = -q_N$ and $\overline{m_N} = m_N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[q_N(\rho,\xi)\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\rho) \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho)\big] \Big\|_{L^1} &\lesssim 2^{k_3/2} 2^{k_4/2} 2^{\min(k_1,k_2)/2} 2^{-|k_1-k_2|},\\
\Big\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[\overline{q_N}(\rho,-\eta) m_N(\xi,\rho) \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho)\big] \Big\|_{L^1} &\lesssim 2^{k_3/2} 2^{k_4/2} 2^{\min(k_1,k_2)/2} 2^{-|k_1-k_2|},\\
\Big\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[\overline{q_N}(\rho,-\eta)\overline{m_N}(\rho,\xi) \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho)\big] \Big\|_{L^1} &\lesssim 2^{k_3/2} 2^{k_4/2} 2^{\min(k_1,k_2)/2} 2^{-|k_1-k_2|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

The desired bounds (2.37) follow if $l \in \{1,2,3\}$ and

$$2^{\min(k_1,k_2)/2} \lesssim (2^{-k_3/4} + 2^{3k_3})(2^{-k_4/4} + 2^{3k_4}). \tag{2.41}$$

Similarly, using again (2.38), (2.22) and (2.23) (and suitable changes of variables),

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(b_4^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}\big)\Big\|_{L^1} &\lesssim \sum_{k',k''\in\mathbb{Z},\,|k'-k''|\leq 4} \|\overline{m_N}^{k_2,k',k_1}\|_{S^\infty}\|q_0^{k'',k_3,k_4}\|_{S^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k',k''\in\mathbb{Z},\,|k'-k''|\leq 4,\,k'\leq\max(k_1,k_2)+10} 2^{k'/2}2^{-\max(k_1,k_2)/2}2^{-|k_1-k_2|}\cdot 2^{k_3/2}2^{k_4}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-|k_1-k_2|}\cdot 2^{k_3/2}2^{k_4}.\end{aligned}$$

In the same way

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(b_5^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}\big)\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{-|k_1-k_2|}\cdot 2^{k_4/2}2^{k_3}.$$

The desired bounds (2.37) follow for $l\in\{4,5\}$.

It remains to prove the bounds (2.37) in the case $l\in\{1,2,3\}$ and

$$\max(k_3,k_4,0)+100\leq\min(k_1,k_2),\qquad |k_1-k_2|\leq 4. \tag{2.42}$$

In this case, we need to be more careful because simple bounds like (2.39) and (2.40) lead to a loss of 1/2 derivative. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\rho)-m_N(\rho,-\eta) &= i\frac{q_N(-\eta,\rho)-q_N(\rho,-\eta)}{|\eta|^{3/2}-|\rho|^{3/2}-|\rho+\eta|^{3/2}}+i\frac{q_N(-\eta,\rho)-q_N(\rho,-\eta)}{|\rho|^{3/2}-|\eta|^{3/2}-|\rho+\eta|^{3/2}}\\ &= C\frac{[q_N(-\eta,\rho)-q_N(\rho,-\eta)]|\rho+\eta|^{3/2}}{\big(|\eta|^{3/2}-|\rho|^{3/2}-|\rho+\eta|^{3/2}\big)\big(|\rho|^{3/2}-|\eta|^{3/2}-|\rho+\eta|^{3/2}\big)}\\ &= C\frac{(\rho+\eta)^2}{\big(|\eta|^{3/2}-|\rho|^{3/2}-|\rho+\eta|^{3/2}\big)\big(|\rho|^{3/2}-|\eta|^{3/2}-|\rho+\eta|^{3/2}\big)}\frac{\rho\eta(\eta^{2N-1}+\rho^{2N-1})}{|\rho|^N|\eta|^N},\end{aligned}$$

provided that $2^{20}(|\eta+\rho|+1)\leq|\eta|$. Using also (2.26), it follows easily by integration by parts that

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[[\overline{m_N}(-\eta,\rho)-m_N(\rho,-\eta)]\cdot\varphi_{k_2}(\eta)\varphi_{k_4}(-\rho-\eta)\big]\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{k_4-k_2},$$

provided that (2.42) holds. Using also (2.38) and the bound (2.22), we have

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(b_1^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}\big)\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{k_3/2}2^{k_1}2^{k_4-k_2}\lesssim 2^{k_3/2}2^{k_4}. \tag{2.43}$$

A similar argument shows that

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(b_2^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}\big)\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{k_3}2^{k_4/2}. \tag{2.44}$$

The bounds (2.43) and (2.44) suffice to prove the estimates (2.37) if $l\in\{1,2\}$.

To deal with the case $l=3$ we notice that, as a consequence of (2.38), (2.23), and (2.28),

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[\big(q_N(\rho,\xi)-i\rho|\xi-\rho|^{1/2}\big)m_N(\rho,-\eta)\cdot\widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho)\big]\Big\|_{L^1} &\lesssim 2^{3k_3/2}\cdot 2^{k_4/2}2^{-k_2/2}\\ &\lesssim 2^{k_3}2^{k_4/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[\big(\overline{q_N}(\rho,-\eta)+i\rho|\eta+\rho|^{1/2}\big)\overline{m_N}(\rho,\xi)\cdot\widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho)\big]\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{k_3/2}2^{k_4}.$$

Moreover, using (2.38) and (2.29),

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big[i\rho|\xi-\rho|^{1/2}\Big[m_N(\rho,-\eta)+\frac{4N-2}{3}\frac{|\rho+\eta|^{1/2}\rho}{|\rho|^{3/2}}\Big]\cdot\widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho)\Big]\Big\|_{L^1}&\\ \lesssim 2^{k_1}2^{k_3/2}\cdot 2^{k_4-k_2}&\\ \lesssim 2^{k_3/2}2^{k_4}.&\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big[-i\rho|\eta+\rho|^{1/2}\Big[\overline{m_N}(\rho,\xi)+\frac{4N-2}{3}\frac{|\rho-\xi|^{1/2}\rho}{|\rho|^{3/2}}\Big]\cdot\widetilde{\varphi}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(\xi,\eta,\rho)\Big]\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{k_3}2^{k_4/2}.$$

We add up the last four estimates and exmine the formula for $b_3^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}$ in (2.36) to conclude that

$$\Big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(b_3^{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}\big)\Big\|_{L^1}\lesssim 2^{k_3}2^{k_4/2}+2^{k_3/2}2^{k_4},$$

as desired. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We can now complete the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We first use the formula (2.12). It follows that

$$\big|E_N^{(2)}(t)+E_N^{(3)}(t)\big|\le\big|E_N^{(2)}(0)+E_N^{(3)}(0)\big|+\int_0^t|A_2(s)|+|A_3(s)|+|A_4(s)|\,ds$$

for any $t\in[0,T]$. Using now Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$E_N^{(2)}(t)\lesssim E_N^{(2)}(0)+\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{2p_0},$$

for any $t\in[0,T]$. The assumption (1.23) shows that $E_N^{(2)}(0)\lesssim\epsilon_0^2$. Moreover, in view of the definition (1.12), the low frequencies of solutions are not affected by the nonlinear flow, i.e.

$$\|P_{\le -30}u(t)\|_{L^2}=\|P_{\le -30}u(0)\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_0.$$

Therefore, for any $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^N}^2\lesssim\|P_{\le -5}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|W\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\epsilon_0^2+\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{2p_0}\lesssim\epsilon_0^2(1+t)^{2p_0},$$

as desired. □

3. Energy estimates, II: weighted norms

In this section we prove the following:

Proposition 3.1. *If u satisfies* (1.21)–(1.23) *then*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}(1+t)^{-4p_0}\|Su(t)\|_{H^2}\lesssim\epsilon_0. \tag{3.1}$$

In other words, we improve the control of the weighted energy norm in the bootstrap assumption (1.21). The rest of the section is concerned with the proof of Proposition 3.1.

It follows from (1.13) that

$$(\partial_t+i\Lambda)Su=S\mathcal{N}+\frac{3}{2}(\partial_t+i\Lambda)u=S\mathcal{N}+\frac{3}{2}\mathcal{N}.$$

Let

$$Z:=m(D)Su, \tag{3.2}$$

where $m(r)=1+r^2$. The function Z satisfies the equation

$$(\partial_t+i\Lambda)Z=\mathcal{N}_Z, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N}_Z)(\xi)=&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}q(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\xi-\eta)\,d\eta\\&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}q_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{(Z+\overline{Z})}(\xi-\eta)\,d\eta+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}q_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{(u+\overline{u})}(\xi-\eta)\,d\eta,\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and the symbols q_1, q_2, q_3 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} q(\xi,\eta) &:= q_0(\xi,\eta)\frac{m(\xi)}{m(\eta)},\\ q_1(\xi,\eta) &:= q_0(\xi,\eta)\frac{m(\xi)}{m(\xi-\eta)},\\ q_2(\xi,\eta) &:= -m(\xi)[\xi\partial_\xi+\eta\partial_\eta-(3/2)]q_0(\xi,\eta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

As in the previous section, we start with the quadratic energy

$$E_w^{(2)}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{Z}(\xi,t)|^2\,d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{Z}(\xi,t)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi,t)\,d\xi. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (3.3) and (3.4) we calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_w^{(2)}(t) = 2\Re\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_Z}(\xi,t)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi,t)\,d\xi = I_1(t)+I_2(t)+I_3(t)+I_4(t)+I_5(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} q(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\ I_2 &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} q_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{Z}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\ I_3 &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} q_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\ I_4 &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} q_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\ I_5 &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} q_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

We define now cubic energy corrections. Let

$$\begin{aligned} E_{w,1}^{(3)}(t) &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta,t)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi,t)\,d\xi d\eta,\\ E_{w,2}^{(3)}(t) &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta,t)\widehat{Z}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi,t)\,d\xi d\eta,\\ E_{w,3}^{(3)}(t) &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_3(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta,t)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi,t)\,d\xi d\eta,\\ E_{w,4}^{(3)}(t) &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_4(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta,t)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi,t)\,d\xi d\eta,\\ E_{w,5}^{(3)}(t) &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_5(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta,t)\widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi,t)\,d\xi d\eta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where[7]

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1(\xi,\eta) &:= i\frac{q(\xi,\eta)+\overline{q}(\eta,\xi)}{-|\eta|^{3/2}-|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}+|\xi|^{3/2}},\\
m_2(\xi,\eta) &:= i\frac{q_1(\xi,\eta)}{-|\eta|^{3/2}-|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}+|\xi|^{3/2}},\\
m_3(\xi,\eta) &:= i\frac{q_1(\xi,\eta)}{-|\eta|^{3/2}+|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}+|\xi|^{3/2}},\\
m_4(\xi,\eta) &:= i\frac{q_2(\xi,\eta)}{-|\eta|^{3/2}-|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}+|\xi|^{3/2}},\\
m_5(\xi,\eta) &:= i\frac{q_2(\xi,\eta)}{-|\eta|^{3/2}+|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}+|\xi|^{3/2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Simple calculations, using also the identities (1.13) and (3.3) show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E^{(3)}_{w,l}(t) = -I_l(t)+J_l(t), \qquad l\in\{1,2,3,4,5\}, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi^2 J_1 =&2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}_Z}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi^2 J_2 =&2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\eta)\widehat{Z}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}_Z}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{Z}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi^2 J_3 =&2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_3(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_3(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_3(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi^2 J_4 =&2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_4(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_4(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\
&+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_4(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

[7]It is important to symmetrize in a suitable way the symbol m_1, as in the previous section, in order to avoid derivative losses. One can also symmetrize some of the other symbols, but these symmetrizations are meaningless in our situation.

and

$$\begin{aligned}4\pi^2 J_5 =&2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_5(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\ &+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_5(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta\\ &+2\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_5(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta.\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big[E_w^{(2)}+E_{w,1}^{(3)}+E_{w,2}^{(3)}+E_{w,3}^{(3)}+E_{w,4}^{(3)}+E_{w,5}^{(3)}\big](t)=J_1(t)+J_2(t)+J_3(t)+J_4(t)+J_5(t).\tag{3.15}$$

As in the previous section, we would like to estimate the cubic corrections $E_{w,l}^{(3)}$ and the space-time contributions J_l, $l\in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$. Recall the definitions (2.13) and (2.19), and Lemma 2.2. We record first several symbol-type bounds.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\|q^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2),\tag{3.16}$$

$$\|q_1^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{2k}2^{-2\max(k_1,0)}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2),\tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\|q_2^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{2k}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2).\tag{3.18}$$

Moreover

$$\|m_1^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{k_1/2}2^{-k_2/2}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2),\tag{3.19}$$

$$\|m_2^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}+\|m_3^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k}2^{-2\max(k_1,0)}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2),\tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\|m_4^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}+\|m_5^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2).\tag{3.21}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. The bounds follow from the explicit formulas (1.12) and (3.5), and the bound (2.26), as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

We estimate now the nonlinearities $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}_Z$.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $t\in[0,T]$ and $l\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\|P_l\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{p_0-1/2}2^{-(N-1)l},\tag{3.22}$$

$$\|P_l\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1}2^{-3l},\tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\|P_l\mathcal{N}_Z(t)\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{4p_0-1/2}2^{l}.\tag{3.24}$$

Moreover,

$$P_l\mathcal{N}=0\quad\text{ and }\quad P_l\mathcal{N}_Z=0\quad\text{ if }\quad l\leq -30.\tag{3.25}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. The identities in (3.25) follow easily from the definitions. To prove the other estimates we use the bootstrap assumptions (1.21) and (1.22), together with the symbol estimates (2.22) and (3.16)–(3.18).

To prove (3.22) and (3.23) we recall the formula

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N})(\xi)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q_0(\xi,\eta)\widehat{V}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\,d\eta.$$

Using Lemma 2.2 (ii) and (2.22), for $l \geq -30$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_l\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_0^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(l,k_1,k_2)2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\cdot(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4\max(k_1,0)}\cdot(1+t)^{p_0}2^{-Nk_2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{p_0-1/2}2^{-(N-1)l}\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_l\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_0^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(l,k_1,k_2)2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\cdot(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4\max(k_1,0)}\cdot(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4k_2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1}2^{-3l}.\end{aligned}$$

Also, using (3.4), Lemma 2.2, and the bounds (3.16)–(3.18), for $l \geq -30$,

$$\|P_l\mathcal{N}_Z(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim I + II + III,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I :&= \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}Z(t)\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(l,k_1,k_2)2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\cdot(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4\max(k_1,0)}\cdot(1+t)^{4p_0}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{4p_0-1/2}2^{l},\\ II :&= \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_1^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}Z(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(l,k_1,k_2)2^{2l}2^{-2\max(k_1,0)}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\cdot(1+t)^{4p_0}\cdot(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4k_2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{4p_0-1/2}2^{-l},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}III :&= \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_2^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(l,k_1,k_2)2^{2l}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\cdot(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4\max(k_1,0)}\cdot(1+t)^{p_0}2^{-Nk_2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{p_0-1/2}2^{-(N-3)l}.\end{aligned}$$

The desired bound (3.24) follows. □

We can estimate now the expressions J_2, J_3, J_4, J_5 defined in (3.11)–(3.14).

Lemma 3.4. *For any $t \in [0,T]$ we have*

$$|J_2(t)| + |J_3(t)| + |J_4(t)| + |J_5(t)| \lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-1+8p_0}. \tag{3.26}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. In this lemma we use the stronger low-frequency estimates in (1.23) and the fact that the nonlinearity $\mathcal{N}$ does not have any low frequencies. Therefore, for any $t \in [0,T]$ and $l \leq -30$

$$\|P'_l u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|P'_l Z(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{l(1/2-p_0)}. \tag{3.27}$$

To estimate $|J_2(t)|$, we decompose first

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &:= J_{21} + J_{22} + J_{23},\\
J_{21} &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\eta)\widehat{Z}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\
J_{22} &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}_Z}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\
J_{23} &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{Z}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $|J_{21}(t)|$ we use (3.27) first Lemma 2.2 (ii),

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{21}(t)| &\lesssim \Sigma_{211}+\Sigma_{212}+\Sigma_{213},\\
\Sigma_{211} &:= \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1+40}\geq 1} \|m_2^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}Z(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2},\\
\Sigma_{212} &:= \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1+40}\in[(1+t)^{-2},1]} \|m_2^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}Z(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2},\\
\Sigma_{213} &:= \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1+40}\leq(1+t)^{-2}} \|m_2^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_1}Z(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we use Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.2, the bounds (3.27), together with the simple bound $\|P'_lf\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim 2^{l/2}\|P'_lf\|_{L^2}$, to estimate

$$\Sigma_{211}\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}\sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1+40}\geq 1}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k-2k_1}2^{-3k_2}\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{212} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{4p_0-1}\sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1+40}\in[(1+t)^{-2},1]}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k}2^{-3k_2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{6p_0-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{213} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{5p_0-1/2}\sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1+40}\leq(1+t)^{-2}}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k}2^{-(N-1)k_2}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|J_{21}(t)|\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}.$$

The estimate for $|J_{22}(t)|$ is easier,

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{22}(t)| &\lesssim \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\|m_2^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}\mathcal{N}_Z(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}\sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,k_1\geq -30}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k-2k_1}2^{-4k_2}2^{k_1}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $|J_{23}(t)|$ is similar to the estimate for $|J_{21}(t)|$. We use first Lemma 2.2 (ii), and decompose the sum over the three cases, $2^{k_1+40}\geq 1$, $2^{k_1+40}\in[(1+t)^{-2},1]$, $2^{k_1+40}\leq(1+t)^{-2}$. Then we use, as before, the L^2 bounds $\|P'_{k_1}Z(t)\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1(1+t)^{4p_0}$ in the first case, and the stronger

L^2 bounds $\|P'_{k_1}Z(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}$ in the other two cases. We proceed as in the estimate of $|J_{21}(t)|$ to conclude that $|J_{23}(t)| \lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}$. Therefore

$$|J_2(t)| \lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}. \tag{3.28}$$

The estimate on $|J_3(t)|$ is similar to the estimate for $|J_2(t)|$, since the expressions in the definitions (3.11) and (3.12) of J_2 and J_3 are similar, and the bounds on the multipliers m_2 and m_3 in (3.20) are also similar.

The estimate for $|J_4(t)|$ also proceeds along the same line: start by decomposing

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &:= J_{41} + J_{42} + J_{43},\\
J_{41} &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_4(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\
J_{42} &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_4(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\
J_{43} &:= 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_4(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate, as before,

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{41}(t)| &\lesssim \Sigma_{411} + \Sigma_{412} + \Sigma_{413},\\
\Sigma_{411} &:= \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1+40}\geq(1+t)^{-2}} \|m_4^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2},\\
\Sigma_{412} &:= \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1+40}\leq(1+t)^{-2}} \|m_4^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we use Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.2, (3.27), together with the simple bound $\|P'_lf\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{l/2}\|P'_lf\|_{L^2}$, to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{411} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{5p_0-1} \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1+40}\geq(1+t)^{-2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{2k}2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-3k_2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{7p_0-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{412} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{5p_0-1/2} \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1+40}\leq(1+t)^{-2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{2k}2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-(N-1)k_2}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|J_{41}(t)| \lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}.$$

The estimate for $|J_{42}(t)|$ is easier,

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{42}(t)| &\lesssim \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|m_4^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{5p_0-1} \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, k_1\geq -30} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{2k}2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-4k_2}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{5p_0-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, as before, the estimate for $|J_{43}(t)|$ is similar to the estimate for $|J_{41}(t)|$. Therefore

$$|J_4(t)| \lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}. \tag{3.29}$$

The estimate on $|J_5(t)|$ is similar to the estimate for $|J_4(t)|$, since the expressions in the definitions (3.13) and (3.14) of J_4 and J_5 are similar, and the bounds on the multipliers m_4 and m_5 in (3.21) are also similar. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We can estimate now the expression J_1 defined in (3.10).

Lemma 3.5. *For any $t \in [0,T]$ we have*

$$|J_1(t)| \lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-1+8p_0}. \tag{3.30}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We start by decomposing

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{N}_Z = \mathcal{N}_Z^1 + \mathcal{N}_Z^2,\\
&\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N}_Z^1)(\xi) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\xi-\eta)\,d\eta,\\
&\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N}_Z^2)(\xi) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{(Z+\overline{Z})}(\xi-\eta)\,d\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q_2(\xi,\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta)\widehat{(u+\overline{u})}(\xi-\eta)\,d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the bound (3.24) in Lemma 3.3 shows that $\mathcal{N}_Z^2$ satisfies stronger L^2 estimates than $\mathcal{N}_Z$, more precisely

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|P_l\mathcal{N}_Z^2(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{4p_0-1/2}2^{-l}, \qquad t\in[0,T],\, l\in\mathbb{Z},\\
&P_l\mathcal{N}_Z^2(t) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \qquad l \le -30.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Now we decompose

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_1 = J_{11} + J_{12} + J_{13} + J_{14},\\
&J_{11} := 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}_Z^2}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\
&J_{12} := 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\
&J_{13} := 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z^2}}(-\xi)\,d\xi d\eta,\\
&J_{14} := 2\Re\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \Big[m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\mathcal{N}_Z^1}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(-\xi) + m_1(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Z}(\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{N}_Z^1}}(-\xi)\Big]\,d\xi d\eta.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

The expressions $J_{11}(t), J_{12}(t), J_{13}(t)$ can be estimated using Lemma 2.2 (ii). More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{11}(t)| &\lesssim \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|m_1^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}\mathcal{N}_Z^2(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}\sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{k_1/2}2^{-k_2/2}\cdot 2^{-k_2}2^{-4\max(k_1,0)}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{12}(t)| &\lesssim \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|m_1^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}Z(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_1}\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}\sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,k_1\ge -30} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{k_1/2}2^{-k_2/2}\cdot 2^{-3k_1}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|J_{13}(t)| &\lesssim \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|m_1^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}Z(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_k\mathcal{N}_Z^2(t)\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}\sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{k_1/2}2^{-k_2/2}\cdot 2^{-4\max(k_1,0)}2^{-k}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0-1}.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $J_{14}(t)$ we expand the nonlinearity $\mathcal{N}_Z^1$ according to its definition. After suitable changes of variables we rewrite, as in the proof of Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned}8\pi^3 J_{14} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widehat{Z}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\rho-\xi)\widehat{u}(-\rho-\eta)m_1(-\eta,\rho)q(\rho,\xi)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widehat{Z}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\eta)\widehat{u}(-\rho-\xi)\widehat{V}(\rho-\eta)m_1(-\rho,\xi)\overline{q}(-\rho,-\eta)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widehat{Z}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\rho-\xi)\widehat{V}(\rho-\eta)\overline{m_1}(\xi,-\rho)\overline{q}(-\rho,-\eta)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widehat{Z}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\rho-\xi)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\rho-\eta)\overline{m_1}(\rho,-\eta)q(\rho,\xi)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta.\end{aligned}$$

As in (2.32), recalling that $V=u+\overline{u}$, these terms can be recombined. More precisely

$$\begin{aligned}&J_{14}=J_{141}+J_{142}+J_{143},\\ &J_{141}:=\frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widehat{Z}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\rho-\xi)\widehat{u}(-\rho-\eta)[m_1(-\eta,\rho)-\overline{m_1}(\rho,-\eta)]q(\rho,\xi)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta,\\ &J_{142}:=\frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widehat{Z}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\eta)\widehat{\overline{u}}(-\rho-\xi)\widehat{V}(\rho-\eta)[\overline{m_1}(\xi,-\rho)-m_1(-\rho,\xi)]\overline{q}(-\rho,-\eta)\,d\xi d\rho d\eta,\\ &J_{143}:=\frac{1}{8\pi^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widehat{Z}(\xi)\widehat{\overline{Z}}(\eta)\widehat{V}(\rho-\xi)\widehat{V}(-\rho-\eta)[\overline{m_1}(\rho,-\eta)q(\rho,\xi)+m_1(\rho,\xi)\overline{q}(\rho,-\eta)]\,d\xi d\rho d\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the integrals defining J_{141},J_{142},J_{143} are similar to the integrals defining B_1,B_2,B_3 in (2.32) (one needs to replace Z with W, m_1 with $\overline{m_N}$, and q with q_N). We note also that the symbol bounds on q and m_1 are similar to the symbol bounds on q_N and m_N, and that the assumed L^2 bounds on Z are similar to the assumed L^2 bounds on W (the only difference being that the factor $(1+t)^{4p_0}$ replaces the factor $(1+t)^{p_0}$, see (1.21)). Therefore these integrals can be estimated as in the proof of Lemma 2.6 to conclude that $|J_{14}(t)|\lesssim\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-1+8p_0}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We show now how to control the cubic corrections $E_{w,l}^{(3)}$. More precisely:

Lemma 3.6. *Assuming the bounds* (1.21)–(1.23)*, for any* $t\in[0,T]$ *and* $l\in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ *we have*

$$|E_{w,l}^{(3)}(t)|\lesssim\epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{8p_0}. \tag{3.33}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.6. We estimate, using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.2,

$$|E_{w,1}^{(3)}(t)|\lesssim\sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\|m_1^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}Z(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{8p_0}.$$

We use also the bounds (3.27). We estimate, for $l \in \{2,3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E^{(3)}_{w,l}(t)| \lesssim \Sigma^{(3)}_{l1} + \Sigma^{(3)}_{l2},\\
&\Sigma^{(3)}_{l1} := \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1+40}\geq(1+t)^{-1/2}} \|m_l^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}Z(t)\|_{L^2},\\
&\Sigma^{(3)}_{l2} := \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1+40}\leq(1+t)^{-1/2}} \|m_l^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_1}Z(t)\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{(3)}_{l1} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{8p_0} \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1+40}\geq(1+t)^{-1/2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k-2\max(k_1,0)}(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4k_2}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{8p_0}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{(3)}_{l2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{5p_0} \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1+40}\leq(1+t)^{-1/2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k-2\max(k_1,0)}2^{-Nk_2}2^{k_1(1-p_0)}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{8p_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired bound (3.33) for $l \in \{2,3\}$.

On the other hand, for $l \in \{4,5\}$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|E^{(3)}_{w,l}(t)| &\lesssim \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|m_l^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_kZ(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{2}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{6p_0} \sum_{k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{2k}2^{-Nk_2}2^{k_1(1-p_0)}\\
&\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{6p_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired bound (3.33) for $l \in \{4,5\}$, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

We can now complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We first use the formula (3.15), Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. It follows that, for some constant C_{p_0}

$$\Big|E^{(2)}_w(t) + \sum_{l=1}^5 E^{(3)}_{w,l}(t)\Big| \leq \Big|E^{(2)}_w(0) + \sum_{l=1}^5 E^{(3)}_{w,l}(0)\Big| + C\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{8p_0},$$

for any $t \in [0,T]$. The assumption (1.23) shows that $E^{(2)}_w(0) \lesssim \epsilon_0^2$; using also Lemma 3.6 it follows that

$$\Big|E^{(2)}_w(0) + \sum_{l=1}^5 E^{(3)}_{w,l}(0)\Big| \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 + \epsilon_1^3 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2.$$

Therefore

$$\Big|E^{(2)}_w(t) + \sum_{l=1}^5 E^{(3)}_{w,l}(t)\Big| \lesssim \epsilon_0^2(1+t)^{8p_0}.$$

Using again Lemma 3.6, it follows that $\big|E^{(2)}_w(t)\big| \lesssim (\epsilon_0^2+\epsilon_1^3)(1+t)^{8p_0} \lesssim \epsilon_0^2(1+t)^{8p_0}$, which completes the proof of the proposition. □

4. The L^∞ decay estimate

In this section we prove the following:

Proposition 4.1. *If u satisfies (1.21)–(1.23) then*

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}(1+t)^{1/2}2^{4\max(k,0)}\|P_k u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\epsilon_0. \tag{4.1}$$

In other words, we improve the control of the L^∞ norm in the bootstrap assumption (1.22). We will prove this proposition in the next two sections. In this section we show how to reduce the proof of Proposition 4.1 to the more technical Lemma 4.6.

4.1. The normal form transformation.

ecall that for any suitable multiplier $m:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ we define the associated bilinear operator M by the formula

$$\mathcal{F}\big[M(f,g)\big](\xi)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}m(\xi,\eta)\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{g}(\eta)\,d\eta.$$

With this notation, our basic equation is

$$\partial_t u+i\Lambda u=Q_0(V,u),\qquad V=u+\overline{u}, \tag{4.2}$$

where Q_0 is the bilinear operator defined by the Fourier multiplier q_0 in (1.12).

We would like to define a modified variable v that satisfies a cubic equation. Let

$$v:=u+A(u,u)+B(\overline{u},u), \tag{4.3}$$

where A and B are the bilinear operators defined by the multipliers

$$\begin{aligned}a(\xi,\eta)&:=\frac{iq_0(\xi,\eta)}{|\xi|^{3/2}-|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}-|\eta|^{3/2}},\\ b(\xi,\eta)&:=\frac{iq_0(\xi,\eta)}{|\xi|^{3/2}+|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}-|\eta|^{3/2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

It is easy to see that v verifies the equation

$$\partial_t v+i\Lambda v=A(Q_0(V,u),u)+A(u,Q_0(V,u))+B(\overline{Q_0(V,u)},u)+B(\overline{u},Q_0(V,u)). \tag{4.5}$$

We prove first several bounds on the modified variable v.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $t\in[0,T]$ and $k\geq-30$ we have*

$$\|P_k(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-7k/2}(1+t)^{-3/4+2p_0}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\|P_k(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-(N-1/2)k}(1+t)^{-1/4+3p_0}, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\|P_k S(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-3k/2}(1+t)^{-1/4+6p_0}. \tag{4.8}$$

Moreover

$$P_k(u(t)-v(t))=0\qquad\text{if}\qquad k\geq-30. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. The identities (4.8) follow from the definitions. To prove (4.6) and (4.7) we notice first that

$$\|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}+\|b^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2), \tag{4.10}$$

as a consequence of (2.22) and (2.26). Recall the bounds (1.21)–(1.23),

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_l u(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\epsilon_1(1+t)^{p_0}\min\big[2^{-Nl},2^{l(1/2-p_0)}\big],\\ \|P_l u(t)\|_{L^\infty}&\lesssim\epsilon_1(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4\max(l,0)},\\ \|P_l Su(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\epsilon_1(1+t)^{4p_0}\min\big[2^{-2l},2^{l(1/2-p_0)}\big],\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in [0,T]$. Therefore, using Lemma 2.2 (ii), for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq -30$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_k A(u(t),u(t))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1/2+p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1}\geq(1+t)^{-1/2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-Nk_2}2^{-4\max(k_1,0)}\\ &+ \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{2p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1}\leq(1+t)^{-1/2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-Nk_2}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 2^{-(N-1/2)k}(1+t)^{-1/4+3p_0},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_k A(u(t),u(t))\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1}\geq(1+t)^{-1/2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-4k_2}2^{-4\max(k_1,0)}\\ &+ \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1/2+p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1}\leq(1+t)^{-1/2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-4k_2}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 2^{-3.5k}(1+t)^{-3/4+2p_0}.\end{aligned}$$

The bounds for $\|P_k B(\overline{u}(t),u(t))\|_{L^2}$ and $\|P_k B(\overline{u}(t),u(t))\|_{L^\infty}$ are similar, since the bounds for the symbols a and b in (4.10) are similar. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\|P_k A(u(t),u(t))\|_{L^2} + \|P_k B(\overline{u}(t),u(t))\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 2^{-(N-1/2)k}(1+t)^{-1/4+3p_0},\\ &\|P_k A(u(t),u(t))\|_{L^\infty} + \|P_k B(\overline{u}(t),u(t))\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 2^{-3.5k}(1+t)^{-3/4+2p_0},\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for any $k \geq -30$.

The bounds (4.6) and (4.7) follow. To prove (4.10) we have to calculate $S(A(u,u))$ and $S(B(\overline{u},u))$. Using the definitions, we calculate for any suitable functions f and g,

$$\begin{aligned}2\pi\mathcal{F}[SA(f(t),g(t))](\xi) &= \Big[\frac{3}{2}t\partial_t - \xi\partial_\xi - I\Big]\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi,\eta)\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{g}(\eta,t)\,d\eta\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi,\eta)\big[\widehat{Sf}(\xi-\eta,t) - \eta\partial\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta,t)\big]\widehat{g}(\eta,t)\,d\eta\\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi,\eta)\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta,t)\frac{3}{2}t\partial_t\widehat{g}(\eta,t)\,d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{R}}\xi\partial_\xi a(\xi,\eta)\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{g}(\eta,t)\,d\eta\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi,\eta)\widehat{Sf}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{g}(\eta,t)\,d\eta + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi,\eta)\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{Sg}(\eta,t)\,d\eta\\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi\partial_\xi+\eta\partial_\eta)a(\xi,\eta)\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta,t)\widehat{g}(\eta,t)\,d\eta.\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

A similar calculation holds for $S(B(\overline{u},u))$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}SA(u,u) &= A(Su,u) + A(u,Su) - \widetilde{A}(u,u),\\ SB(\overline{u},u) &= B(S\overline{u},u) + B(\overline{u},Su) - \widetilde{B}(\overline{u},u),\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where $\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}$ are the bilinear operators associated to the multipliers

$$\widetilde{a}(\xi,\eta) := (\xi\partial_\xi+\eta\partial_\eta)a(\xi,\eta), \qquad \widetilde{b}(\xi,\eta) := (\xi\partial_\xi+\eta\partial_\eta)b(\xi,\eta). \tag{4.15}$$

We examine now the symbols $\widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{b}$. Recalling the definition (4.4), we have

$$a(\xi,\eta) = -\chi(\xi-\eta,\eta)a_1(\xi,\eta), \qquad b(\xi,\eta) = -\chi(\xi-\eta,\eta)b_1(\xi,\eta),$$

where

$$a_1(\xi,\eta) := \frac{|\xi-\eta|^{1/2}\eta}{|\xi|^{3/2}-|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}-|\eta|^{3/2}}, \qquad b_1(\xi,\eta) := \frac{|\xi-\eta|^{1/2}\eta}{|\xi|^{3/2}+|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}-|\eta|^{3/2}}.$$

The symbols a_1 and b_1 are homogeneous of degree 0, i. e. $a_1(\lambda\xi,\lambda\eta)=a_1(\xi,\eta)$ and $b_1(\lambda\xi,\lambda\eta)=b_1(\xi,\eta)$ for any $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$, therefore

$$(\xi\partial_\xi+\eta\partial_\eta)a_1=(\xi\partial_\xi+\eta\partial_\eta)b_1\equiv 0.$$

Therefore, the symbols $\widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{b}$ satisfy the same bounds as the symbols a and b, i.e.

$$\|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}+\|b^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\lesssim 2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2),$$

for any $k,k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, as in the proof of (4.12),

$$\|P_k\widetilde{A}(u(t),u(t))\|_{L^2}+\|P_k\widetilde{B}(\overline{u}(t),u(t))\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-(N-1/2)k}(1+t)^{-1/4+3p_0} \tag{4.16}$$

for any $k\geq -30$ and $t\in[0,T]$. Moreover, using (4.10), (4.11), and Lemma 2.2 (ii), we estimate as before, for $k\geq -30$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_kA(u(t),Su(t))\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}Su(t)\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim\epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1/2+4p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1}\geq(1+t)^{-1/2}}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-2k_2}\\
&+\epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{5p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1}\leq(1+t)^{-1/2}}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-2k_2}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\
&\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-3k/2}(1+t)^{-1/4+6p_0},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|P_kA(Su(t),u(t))\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\min\big[\|P'_{k_1}Su(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty},\|P'_{k_1}Su(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^2}\big]\\
&\lesssim\epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1/2+4p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1}\geq(1+t)^{-1/2}}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-4k_2}\\
&+\epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{5p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\,2^{k_1}\leq(1+t)^{-1/2}}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-Nk_2}2^{k_1/2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\
&\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-3k}(1+t)^{-1/4+6p_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

The bounds for $\|P_kB(S\overline{u}(t),u(t))\|_{L^2}$ and $\|P_kB(\overline{u}(t),Su(t))\|_{L^2}$ are similar, and the bound (4.8) follows. □

Remark 4.3. *(i) For later use, we notice that the bounds* (4.6)–(4.7) *and Sobolev embedding also show that*

$$\|P_k(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-4k}(1+t)^{-1/2}. \tag{4.17}$$

(ii) We record also an additional bound on $\|P_k(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^2}$*,*

$$\|P_k(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-4k}(1+t)^{-1/2+6p_0}. \tag{4.18}$$

This alternative bound, which provides faster decay in time but slower decay at high frequencies, is used in subsection 5.3. To prove it, we estimate as before, for $k\geq -30$

$$\|P_kA(u(t),u(t))\|_{L^2}\lesssim I+II,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1}\geq(1+t)^{-4}} \|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1/2+p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1}\geq(1+t)^{-4}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-4k_2}2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 2^{-4k}(1+t)^{-1/2+6p_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} II &:= \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1}\leq(1+t)^{-4}} \|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1}\leq(1+t)^{-4}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-Nk_2}2^{k_1(1-p_0)}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 2^{-(N-1)k}(1+t)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

The bounds on $P_kB(\overline{u}(t),u(t))$ are similar, and the desired bounds (4.18) *follow.*

4.2. **The profile f.** For $t\in[0,T]$ we define the profile

$$f(t) := e^{it\Lambda}v(t). \tag{4.19}$$

Our proposition below summarizes the main properties of the function f.

Proposition 4.4. *If u satisfies* (1.21)–(1.23) *and v, f are defined as before then*

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-it\Lambda}\partial_t f = \partial_t v + i\Lambda v = \mathcal{N}',\\ &\mathcal{N}' := A(Q_0(V,u),u) + A(u,Q_0(V,u)) + B(\overline{Q_0(V,u)},u) + B(\overline{u},Q_0(V,u)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Moreover, for any $t\in[0,T]$ and $k\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\big\|P_k[e^{-it\Lambda}f(t)]\big\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_1(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4\max(k,0)}, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\|P_kf(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0(1+t)^{6p_0}\min\big(2^{-(N-1)k},2^{k(1/2-p_0)}\big), \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$\|P_k(x\partial_x f(t))\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0(1+t)^{6p_0}\min\big(2^{-k},2^{k(1/2-p_0)}\big). \tag{4.23}$$

Proof of Proposition 4.4. The identity (4.20) follows from definitions and (4.5). The bound (4.22) follows from the assumption (1.23), the bound (4.7), the identities (4.9), and Proposition 2.1. The bound (4.21) follows from the assumption (1.22) and the bounds (4.17).

To prove (4.23) we start from the identity

$$Sv = e^{-it\Lambda}(x\partial_x f) + (3/2)te^{-it\Lambda}(\partial_t f),$$

which is a consequence of the commutation identity $[S,e^{-it\Lambda}]=0$. Therefore, for any $t\in[0,T]$ and $k\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|P_k(x\partial_x f(t))\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|P_k(Sv(t))\|_{L^2} + (1+t)\|P_k\mathcal{N}'(t)\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.24}$$

In view of the support properties of the symbols a and b,

$$\|P_k\mathcal{N}'(t)\|_{L^2}=0 \qquad\text{and}\qquad \|P_k(Sv(t))\|_{L^2} = \|P_k(Su(t))\|_{L^2} = \|P_k(Su_0)\|_{L^2}$$

if $k\leq -30$. The desired bound (4.23) follows from (1.23) if $k\leq -30$. On the other hand, if $k\geq -30$, we use (4.24) again, together with Proposition 3.1 and (4.7), to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^{-6p_0}2^k\|P_k(x\partial_x f(t))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-6p_0}2^k\big[\|P_k(Sv(t))\|_{L^2} + (1+t)\|P_k\mathcal{N}'(t)\|_{L^2}\big]\\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-6p_0}2^k(1+t)\|P_k\mathcal{N}'(t)\|_{L^2} + (\epsilon_0+\epsilon_1^{3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for (4.23) it remains to prove that, for any $t \in [0,T]$ and $k \geq -30$

$$(1+t)^{1-6p_0} 2^k \|P_k \mathcal{N}'(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2. \tag{4.25}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{N} = Q_0(V,u)$, and the bounds in Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_l \mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{p_0-1/2} 2^{-(N-1)l}, \\ &\|P_l \mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{-1} 2^{-3l}, \\ &P_l \mathcal{N} = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad l \leq -30. \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Recall also the bounds (4.11) and the symbol bounds (4.10). Using Lemma 2.2 (ii), we estimate, for any $k \geq -30$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k A(\mathcal{N}(t), u(t))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \|P'_{k_1} \mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P'_{k_2} u(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{-1+p_0} \sum_{k_1,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z},\, k_1 \geq -10} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2) 2^{(k_2-k_1)/2} 2^{-Nk_2} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{-1+p_0} 2^{-k}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_k A(u(t), \mathcal{N}(t))\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \|a^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \min\big[\|P'_{k_1} u(t)\|_{L^2} \|P'_{k_2} \mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|P'_{k_1} u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P'_{k_2} \mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^2}\big] \\ &\quad\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{-1+p_0} \sum_{k_1,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1} \geq (1+t)^{-2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2) 2^{(k_2-k_1)/2} 2^{-3k_2} 2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)} \\ &\quad\quad + \epsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{-1/2+2p_0} \sum_{k_1,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1} \leq (1+t)^{-2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2) 2^{(k_2-k_1)/2} 2^{-(N-1)k_2} 2^{k_1/2} 2^{k_1(1/2-p_0)} \\ &\quad\lesssim \epsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{-1+4p_0} 2^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The bounds for $\|P_k B(\overline{\mathcal{N}}(t), u(t))\|_{L^2}$ and $\|P_k B(\overline{u}(t), \mathcal{N}(t))\|_{L^2}$ are similar, since the bounds on the symbols a and b are similar, and the desired bound (4.25) follows. □

The following proposition on the uniform control of the Z-norm of the function f represents the main step in the proof of Proposition 4.1. For any $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ let

$$\|h\|_Z := \big\| \big(|\xi|^{p_1} + |\xi|^5\big) \widehat{h}(\xi) \big\|_{L^\infty_\xi}, \tag{4.27}$$

where $p_1 > 0$ is the same small exponent as in (1.23).

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that $T' \in [0,T]$ and*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T']} \|f(t)\|_Z \leq \epsilon_1. \tag{4.28}$$

Then

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T']} \|f(t)\|_Z \lesssim \epsilon_0. \tag{4.29}$$

We show now how to use Proposition 4.5 and the estimates in Lemma A.1 and Lemma A.2 to complete the proof of the main Proposition 4.1.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let

$$z(t) := \|f(t)\|_Z,$$

and notice that $z : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous function.

We show first that

$$z(0) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \tag{4.30}$$

Indeed, using the definitions and Lemma A.2

$$\begin{aligned} z(0) &\lesssim \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (2^{p_1 k} + 2^{5k}) \|\widehat{P_k v(0)}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (2^{p_1 k} + 2^{5k}) 2^{-k/2} \|\widehat{P'_k v(0)}\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \big[2^k \|\partial \widehat{P'_k v(0)}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{P'_k v(0)}\|_{L^2}\big]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, using (1.23), (4.7)–(4.9) with $t = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P'_k v(0)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \min\big[2^{-(N-1)k}, 2^{k(1/2-p_1)}\big], \\ &2^k \|\partial \widehat{P'_k v(0)}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{P'_k v(0)}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \min\big[2^{-k}, 2^{k(1/2-p_1)}\big], \end{aligned}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The desired bound (4.30) follows by combining these inequalities.

We apply now Proposition 4.5. By continuity, $z(t) \lesssim \epsilon_0$ for any $t \in [0, T]$, provided that ϵ_0 is sufficiently small and $\epsilon_0 \ll \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_0^{2/3} \ll 1$ (see (1.24)). Therefore, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in [0, T]$,

$$(2^{p_1 k} + 2^{5k}) \|\widehat{P_k f}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \tag{4.31}$$

Since $v(t) = e^{-it\Lambda} f(t)$, it follows from Lemma A.1 that

$$\|P_k v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2} 2^{k/4} \|\widehat{P'_k f(t)}\|_{L^\infty} + |t|^{-3/5} 2^{-2k/5} \big[2^k \|\partial \widehat{P'_k f(t)}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{P'_k f(t)}\|_{L^2}\big] \tag{4.32}$$

and

$$\|P_k v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2} 2^{k/4} \|P'_k f(t)\|_{L^1}, \tag{4.33}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

It follows from Lemma A.2 and (4.22)–(4.23) that

$$\|P'_k f(t)\|_{L^1} \lesssim \epsilon_0 (1+t)^{6p_0} \min\big(2^{-(N+1)k/2}, 2^{-kp_0}\big).$$

Therefore, using (4.33),

$$\|P_k v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0 |t|^{-1/2} 2^{k/4} (1+t)^{6p_0} \min\big(2^{-(N+1)k/2}, 2^{-kp_0}\big). \tag{4.34}$$

Recall that we are looking to prove the main decay bound (4.1),

$$(1+t)^{1/2} 2^{4\max(k,0)} \|P_k u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \tag{4.35}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in [1, T]$. Clearly, this follows from (4.34) and (4.17) if $2^k \geq (1+t)^{10p_0}$.

On the other hand, if $2^k \leq (1+t)^{10p_0}$ then we use the bound (4.32). Using (4.22)–(4.23),

$$2^k \|\partial \widehat{P'_k f(t)}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{P'_k f(t)}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0 (1+t)^{6p_0} 2^{k(1/2-p_0)}.$$

Therefore, using also (4.31) and (4.32),

$$\|P_k v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2} 2^{k/4} \frac{\epsilon_0}{2^{p_1 k} + 2^{5k}} + |t|^{-3/5} 2^{-2k/5} \epsilon_0 (1+t)^{6p_0} 2^{k(1/2-p_0)}.$$

The desired bound (4.35) follows when $2^k \leq (1+t)^{10p_0}$. □

4.3. **Proof of Proposition 4.5.** In this subsection we show that Proposition 4.5 is a consequence of the more technical Lemma 4.6 below. We start from the equation (4.20), which we write in the form

$$\partial_t f = e^{it\Lambda}\big(\mathcal{N}'' + \mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}\big), \tag{4.36}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}'' := A(Q_0(v+\overline{v},v),v) + A(v,Q_0(v+\overline{v},v)) + B(\overline{Q_0(v+\overline{v},v)},v) + B(\overline{v},Q_0(v+\overline{v},v)), \tag{4.37}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{\geq 4} :=& [A(Q_0(u+\overline{u},u),u) - A(Q_0(v+\overline{v},v),v)]\\ &+[A(u,Q_0(u+\overline{u},u)) - A(v,Q_0(v+\overline{v},v))]\\ &+[B(\overline{Q_0(u+\overline{u},u)},u) - B(\overline{Q_0(v+\overline{v},v)},v)]\\ &+[B(\overline{u},Q_0(u+\overline{u},u)) - B(\overline{v},Q_0(v+\overline{v},v))].\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

The point of this decomposition is to identify $\mathcal{N}''$ as the main "cubic" part of the nonlinearity, which can be expressed only in terms of $v(t) = e^{-it\Lambda}f(t)$. The remainder $\mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}$ can be thought of as a "quartic" contribution, due to the quadratic nature of $u - v$, see Lemma 4.2.

To analyze the equation (4.36) and identify the asymptotic phase logarithmic correction, we need to further decompose the nonlinearity $\mathcal{N}''$. Notice that $\overline{Q_0(g_1,g_2)} = Q_0(\overline{g_1},\overline{g_2})$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{N}'' = \mathcal{C}^{++-} + \mathcal{C}^{+++} + \mathcal{C}^{-++},\\ &\mathcal{C}^{++-} = \mathcal{C}^{++-}(v,v,\overline{v}) := A(Q_0(\overline{v},v),v) + A(v,Q_0(\overline{v},v)) + B(Q_0(v,\overline{v}),v) + B(\overline{v},Q_0(v,v)),\\ &\mathcal{C}^{+++} = \mathcal{C}^{+++}(v,v,v) := A(Q_0(v,v),v) + A(v,Q_0(v,v)),\\ &\mathcal{C}^{--+} = \mathcal{C}^{-++}(\overline{v},\overline{v},v) := B(Q_0(\overline{v},\overline{v}),v) + B(\overline{v},Q_0(\overline{v},v)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Letting $v^+ = v, v^- = \overline{v}$, we expand

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}}(\xi) = \frac{i}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} c^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,\eta,\sigma)\widehat{v^{\iota_1}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{v^{\iota_2}}(\eta-\sigma)\widehat{v^{\iota_3}}(\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma \tag{4.40}$$

for $(\iota_1,\iota_2,\iota_3) \in \{(++-),(+++),(--+)\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}ic^{++-}(\xi,\eta,\sigma) :&= a(\xi,\xi-\eta)q_0(\eta,\eta-\sigma) + a(\xi,\eta)q_0(\eta,\eta-\sigma)\\ &\quad + b(\xi,\xi-\eta)q_0(\eta,\sigma) + b(\xi,\xi-\sigma)q_0(\xi-\sigma,\eta-\sigma),\\ ic^{+++}(\xi,\eta,\sigma) :&= a(\xi,\xi-\eta)q_0(\eta,\sigma) + a(\xi,\eta)q_0(\eta,\sigma),\\ ic^{--+}(\xi,\eta,\sigma) :&= b(\xi,\sigma)q_0(\xi-\sigma,\eta-\sigma) + b(\xi,\eta)q_0(\eta,\sigma).\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

In view of the definitions (1.12) and (1.14), the symbols $c^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}$ are real-valued. Recall the formulas $\widehat{v^+}(\xi,t) = \widehat{f}(\xi,t)e^{-it|\xi|^{3/2}}$ and $\widehat{v^-}(\xi,t) = \widehat{\overline{f}}(\xi,t)e^{it|\xi|^{3/2}}$. we can rewrite

$$\mathcal{F}\big(e^{it\Lambda}\mathcal{N}''(t)\big)(\xi) = \frac{i}{4\pi^2}\big[I^{++-}(\xi,t) + I^{+++}(\xi,t) + I^{--+}(\xi,t)\big], \tag{4.42}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} &e^{it(|\xi|^{3/2}-\iota_1|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}-\iota_2|\eta-\sigma|^{3/2}-\iota_3|\sigma|^{3/2})}\\ &\times c^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,\eta,\sigma)\widehat{f^{\iota_1}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{f^{\iota_2}}(\eta-\sigma)\widehat{f^{\iota_3}}(\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

for $(\iota_1,\iota_2,\iota_3) \in \{(++-),(+++),(--+)\}$. The formula (4.36) becomes

$$(\partial_t\widehat{f})(\xi,t) = \frac{i}{4\pi^2}\big[I^{++-}(\xi,t) + I^{+++}(\xi,t) + I^{--+}(\xi,t)\big] + e^{it|\xi|^{3/2}}\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}}(\xi,t). \tag{4.44}$$

In analyzing the formula (4.44), the main contribution comes from the stationary points of the phase functions $(t,\eta,\sigma)\to t\Phi^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,\eta,\sigma)$, where

$$\Phi^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,\eta,\sigma):=|\xi|^{3/2}-\iota_1|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}-\iota_2|\eta-\sigma|^{3/2}-\iota_3|\sigma|^{3/2}. \tag{4.45}$$

More precisely, one needs to understand the contribution of the *spacetime resonances*, i.e. the points where $\Phi^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,\eta,\sigma)=(\partial_\eta\Phi^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3})(\xi,\eta,\sigma)=(\partial_\sigma\Phi^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3})(\xi,\eta,\sigma)=0$. In our case, it turns out that the only spacetime resonances correspond to $(\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3)=(++-)$ and the points $(\xi,\eta,\sigma)=(\xi,0,-\xi)$. Moreover, the contribution of these points is not absolutely integrable, and we have identify and eliminate this contribution using a suitable logarithmic phase correction. More precisely, let

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{c}(\xi):=\frac{-8\pi|\xi|^{1/2}}{3}c^{++-}(\xi,0,-\xi)=\frac{8\pi|\xi|^{1/2}}{3}ib(\xi,2\xi)q_0(2\xi,\xi),\\&L(\xi,t):=\frac{\widetilde{c}(\xi)}{4\pi^2}\int_0^t|\widehat{f}(\xi,s)|^2\frac{1}{s+1}\,ds,\\&g(\xi,t):=e^{iL(\xi,t)}\widehat{f}(\xi,t).\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

The formula (4.44) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t g)(\xi,t)=\frac{i}{4\pi^2}e^{iL(\xi,t)}\Big[I^{++-}(\xi,t)+\widetilde{c}(\xi)\frac{|\widehat{f}(\xi,t)|^2}{t+1}\widehat{f}(\xi,t)\Big]\\+\frac{i}{4\pi^2}e^{iL(\xi,t)}\big[I^{+++}(\xi,t)+I^{--+}(\xi,t)\big]+e^{it|\xi|^{3/2}}e^{iL(\xi,t)}\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}}(\xi,t).\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

Notice that the phase L is real-valued. Therefore, to complete the proof of Proposition 4.5, it suffices to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 4.6. *Recall that, for any $t\in[0,T']$ and $k\in\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{P_kf}(t)\|_{L^\infty}&\lesssim\epsilon_1\min\big(2^{-p_1k},2^{-5k}\big),\\\|\widehat{P_kf}(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\epsilon_0(1+t)^{6p_0}\min\big(2^{-(N-1)k},2^{k(1/2-p_0)}\big),\\\|\widehat{P_kf}(t)\|_{L^2}+2^k\|\partial\widehat{P_kf}(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\epsilon_0(1+t)^{6p_0}\min\big(2^{-k},2^{k(1/2-p_0)}\big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

see (4.22)–(4.23) and (4.28). Then for any $m\in\{1,2,\dots\}$ and any $t_1\leq t_2\in[2^m-2,2^{m+1}]\cap[0,T']$ we have

$$\big\|(|\xi|^{p_1}+|\xi|^5)[g(\xi,t_2)-g(\xi,t_1)]\big\|_{L^\infty_\xi}\lesssim\epsilon_0 2^{-p_0m}. \tag{4.49}$$

5. Proof of Lemma 4.6

In this section we provide the proof of Lemma 4.6, which is the analogue of Lemma 6.1 in [33]. Notice first that the desired conclusion is a simple consequence of Lemma A.2 and the bounds (4.48) if $|\xi|\geq(1+t)^{20p_0}$. Indeed, in this case for any $t\in[2^m-2,2^{m+1}]\cap[0,T']$ and any $|\xi|\approx 2^k\geq(1+t)^{20p_0}$

$$\begin{aligned}|\xi|^5|g(\xi,t)|&\lesssim 2^{5k}\|\widehat{P_kf}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim 2^{5k}\big[2^{-k}\|\widehat{P_kf}\|_{L^2}\big(2^k\|\partial\widehat{P_kf}\|_{L^2}+\|\widehat{P_kf}\|_{L^2}\big)\big]^{1/2}\\&\lesssim\epsilon_0(1+t)^{6p_0}2^{-k/2}\lesssim\epsilon_0,\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Notice also that the bound (4.49) is trivial if $|\xi|\leq 2^{-30}$.

It remains to prove (4.49) in the intermediate range $|\xi|\in[2^{-30},(1+t)^{20p_0}]$. For $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ let $f_k^+:=P_kf$ and $f_k^-:=P_k\overline{f}$. For any $k_1,k_2,k_3\in\mathbb{Z}$ let

$$\begin{aligned}I^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}e^{it(|\xi|^{3/2}-\iota_1|\xi-\eta|^{3/2}-\iota_2|\eta-\sigma|^{3/2}-\iota_3|\sigma|^{3/2})}\\\times c^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,\eta,\sigma)\widehat{f^{\iota_1}_{k_1}}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{f^{\iota_2}_{k_2}}(\eta-\sigma)\widehat{f^{\iota_3}_{k_3}}(\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma.\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

It follows from (4.48) and Lemma A.1 that for any $t \in [0,T]$ and $l \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{f_l^{\pm}(t)}\|_{L^2} + 2^l\|\partial\widehat{f_l^{\pm}(t)}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{-p_0 l} 2^{l/2}(1+t)^{6p_0},\\ \|e^{\mp it\Lambda} f_l^{\pm}(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{-p_0 l} 2^{l/10}(1+t)^{-1/2},\\ \|\widehat{f_l^{\pm}(t)}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{-p_0 l}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, if $l \geq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{f_l^{\pm}(t)}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{-9l}(1+t)^{6p_0},\\ \|\widehat{f_l^{\pm}(t)}\|_{L^2} + 2^l\|\partial\widehat{f_l^{\pm}(t)}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{-l}(1+t)^{6p_0},\\ \|e^{\mp it\Lambda} f_l^{\pm}(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{-7l/5}(1+t)^{-1/2},\\ \|\widehat{f_l^{\pm}(t)}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \epsilon_1 2^{-5l}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

It is easy to see using the definitions and (4.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\big|c^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,\eta,\sigma)\cdot\varphi'_{k_1}(\xi-\eta)\varphi'_{k_2}(\eta-\sigma)\varphi'_{k_3}(\sigma)\big|\\ &\quad\lesssim 2^{4\max(k_1,k_2,k_3)}2^{[\mathrm{med}(k_1,k_2,k_3)-\min(k_1,k_2,k_3)]/2}\mathbf{1}_{[2^{-25},\infty)}(|\xi|). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Also $\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}}(\xi,t) = 0$ if $|\xi| \leq 2^{-25}$. One can decompose the integrals $I^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}$ into sums of the integrals $I^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}$, and then estimates the terms corresponding to large frequencies and the terms corresponding to small frequencies (relative to m) using only the bounds (5.2)–(5.4). As in [32, Section 5] and [33, Section 6], we can reduce matters to proving the following:

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that $k \in [-30, 20p_0m]$, $|\xi| \in [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$, $m \geq 1$, $t_1 \leq t_2 \in [2^m-2, 2^{m+1}] \cap [0,T']$, and k_1,k_2,k_3 are integers satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} &k_1,k_2,k_3 \in [-3m, 3m/N - 1000],\\ &\min(k_1,k_2,k_3)/2 + 3\mathrm{med}(k_1,k_2,k_3)/2 \geq -m(1+10p_0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Then

$$\Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{iL(\xi,s)}\Big[I^{++-}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,s) + \widetilde{c}(\xi)\frac{\widehat{f_{k_1}^{+}}(\xi,s)\widehat{f_{k_2}^{+}}(\xi,s)\widehat{f_{k_3}^{-}}(-\xi,s)}{s+1}\Big]\,ds\Big| \lesssim \epsilon_1^3 2^{-200p_0m}, \tag{5.6}$$

and, for $(\iota_1,\iota_2,\iota_3) \in \{(+++),(--+)\}$,

$$\Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{iL(\xi,s)} I^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,s)\,ds\Big| \lesssim \epsilon_1^3 2^{-200p_0m}. \tag{5.7}$$

Moreover

$$\Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{iL(\xi,s)} e^{is|\xi|^{3/2}}\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}}(\xi,s)\,ds\Big| \lesssim \epsilon_1^3 2^{-200p_0m}. \tag{5.8}$$

The rest of this section is concerned with the proof of this lemma. We will often use the alternative formulas

$$I^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{it\Phi^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,\eta,\sigma)} c^{*,\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{\xi;k_1,k_2,k_3}(\eta,\sigma)\widehat{f_{k_1}^{\iota_1}}(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f_{k_2}^{\iota_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f_{k_3}^{\iota_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma, \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,x,y) := \Lambda(\xi) - \iota_1\Lambda(\xi+x) - \iota_2\Lambda(\xi+y) - \iota_3\Lambda(\xi+x+y),\\ &c^{*,\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{\xi;k_1,k_2,k_3}(x,y) := c^{\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}(\xi,-x,-\xi-x-y)\cdot\varphi'_{k_1}(\xi+x)\varphi'_{k_2}(\xi+y)\varphi'_{k_3}(\xi+x+y). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

These formulas follow easily from (5.1) by changes of variables.

Using the explicit formulas (4.41) it is easy to verify that the symbols $c^{*,\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{\xi;k_1,k_2,k_3}$ satisfy the S^∞ estimates

$$\big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(c^{*,\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{\xi;l_1,l_2,l_3}\big)\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{4l_{\max}}2^{(l_{\rm med}-l_{\min})/2}, \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[(\partial_\eta c^{*,\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{\xi;l_1,l_2,l_3})(\eta,\sigma)\big]\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim 2^{4l_{\max}}2^{(l_{\rm med}-l_{\min})/2}2^{-\min(l_1,l_3)},\\ \big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big[(\partial_\sigma c^{*,\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3}_{\xi;l_1,l_2,l_3})(\eta,\sigma)\big]\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim 2^{4l_{\max}}2^{(l_{\rm med}-l_{\min})/2}2^{-\min(l_2,l_3)},\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

for any $\xi\in\mathbb{R}$ with $|\xi|\gtrsim 1$ and any $l_1,l_2,l_3\in\mathbb{Z}$, where $l_{\max}=\max(l_1,l_2,l_3)$, $l_{\rm med}={\rm med}(l_1,l_2,l_3)$, $l_{\min}=\min(l_1,l_2,l_3)$.

5.1. **Proof of** (5.6)**.** We divide the proof of the bound (5.6) into several lemmas. For simplicity of notation, in this subsection let $\Phi:=\Phi^{++-}$ and $c^*_{\mathbf{k}}:=c^{*,++-}_{\xi;k_1,k_2,k_3}$.

Lemma 5.2. *The bound* (5.6) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\max\big(|k-k_1|,|k-k_2|,|k-k_3|\big)\le 20. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2. This is the main case, which gives the precise form of the correction. However, the proof if similar (in fact easier, due the extra assumption $|\xi|\gtrsim 1$ in our situation) to the proof of [33][Lemma 6.4]. The only difference is that, in our case $\Lambda(\xi)=|\xi|^{3/2}$; therefore one has the expansion

$$\Big|\Lambda(\xi)-\Lambda(\xi+\eta)-\Lambda(\xi+\sigma)+\Lambda(\xi+\eta+\sigma)-\frac{3\eta\sigma}{4|\xi|^{1/2}}\Big|\lesssim 2^{-3k/2}(|\eta|^3+|\sigma|^3).$$

The same argument as in [33][Lemma 6.4] then leads to desired bound. □

Lemma 5.3. *The bound* (5.6) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\max(|k-k_1|,|k-k_2|,|k-k_3|)\ge 21,\\ &\max(|k_1-k_3|,|k_2-k_3|)\ge 5 \quad \textit{and} \quad \min(k_1,k_2,k_3)\ge -\frac{49}{100}m.\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.3. In this case we will show that

$$|I^{++-}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,s)|\lesssim \epsilon_1^3 2^{-m}2^{-200p_0m}. \tag{5.15}$$

Without loss of generality, by symmetry we can assume that $|k_1-k_3|\ge 5$ and $k_2\le\max(k_1,k_3)+5$. Under the assumptions (5.14) we have

$$|(\partial_\eta\Phi)(\xi,\eta,\sigma)|=|-\Lambda'(\xi+\eta)+\Lambda'(\xi+\eta+\sigma)|\gtrsim 2^{k_{\max}/2}.$$

Therefore we can integrate by parts in η in the expression (5.9) for $I^{++-}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}$. This gives

$$|I^{++-}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,s)|\lesssim |K_1(\xi,s)|+|K_2(\xi,s)|+|K_3(\xi,s)|+|K_4(\xi,s)|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}K_1(\xi)&:=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}m_1(\eta,\sigma)c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)(\partial\widehat{f^+_{k_1}})(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,\\ K_2(\xi)&:=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}m_1(\eta,\sigma)c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\widehat{f^+_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)(\partial\widehat{f^-_{k_3}})(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,\\ K_3(\xi)&:=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}(\partial_\eta m_1)(\eta,\sigma)c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\widehat{f^+_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,\\ K_4(\xi)&:=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}m_1(\eta,\sigma)(\partial_\eta c^*_{\mathbf{k}})(\eta,\sigma)\widehat{f^+_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

having denoted

$$m_1(\eta,\sigma) := \frac{1}{s\partial_\eta\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\varphi'_{k_1}(\xi+\eta)\varphi'_{k_3}(\xi+\eta+\sigma). \tag{5.17}$$

Observe that, in our situation,

$$\|m_1(\eta,\sigma)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-m}2^{-k_{\max}/2}. \tag{5.18}$$

We can then estimate K_1 using Lemma 2.2 (ii), the estimate on $c^*_{\mathbf{k}}$ in (5.11), the bounds (5.2)–(5.3), and the last constraint in (5.14). For example, if $k_1 \le k_3$ (so $2^{k_3}\approx 2^{k_{\max}}$) then we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_1(\xi,s)| &\lesssim \|m_1(\eta,\sigma)\|_{S^\infty}\|c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\|_{S^\infty}\|\widehat{\partial f^+_{k_1}}(s)\|_{L^2}\|e^{-is\Lambda}f^+_{k_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\|\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(s)\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim 2^{-m}2^{-k_{\max}/2}\cdot 2^{5k_{\max}}2^{-k_{\min}/2}\cdot\epsilon_1 2^{-k_1(1/2+p_0)}2^{6mp_0}\cdot\epsilon_1 2^{-m/2}\cdot\epsilon_1 2^{6mp_0}2^{-9k_3}\\
&\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-3m/2}2^{12p_0m}2^{-4k_{\max}}2^{-k_{\min}/2}2^{-k_1(1/2+p_0)}\\
&\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{15p_0m}2^{-3m/2}2^{-k_{\min}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This suffices to prove (5.15). On the other hand, if $k_1 \ge k_3$ (so $2^{k_1}\approx 2^{k_{\max}}$) then we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_1(\xi,s)| &\lesssim \|m_1(\eta,\sigma)\|_{S^\infty}\|c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\|_{S^\infty}\|\widehat{\partial f^+_{k_1}}(s)\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad\times\min\big[\|e^{-is\Lambda}f^+_{k_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\|\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(s)\|_{L^2},\|\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(s)\|_{L^2}\|e^{is\Lambda}f^-_{k_3}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\big]\\
&\lesssim 2^{-m}2^{-k_{\max}/2}\cdot 2^{4.5k_{\max}}2^{-k_{\min}/2}\cdot\epsilon_1 2^{-2k_1}2^{6mp_0}\cdot\epsilon_1^2 2^{-m/2}2^{-6\max(k_2,k_3,0)}2^{6p_0m}\\
&\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-3m/2}2^{14p_0m}2^{-k_{\min}/2}\cdot 2^{2k_{\max}}2^{-6\max(k_2,k_3,0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This also suffices to prove (5.15), since $2^{2k_{\max}}2^{-6\max(k_2,k_3,0)} \lesssim 2^{50p_0m}$ as a consequence of the assumption $k \le 20p_0m$. The estimates for $|K_2(s,\xi)|$, $|K_3(s,\xi)|$, and $|K_4(s,\xi)|$ are similar, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 5.4. *The bound* (5.6) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max(|k-k_1|,|k-k_2|,|k-k_3|)\ge 21,\\
&\max(|k_1-k_3|,|k_2-k_3|)\ge 5 \quad \textit{and} \quad \min(k_1,k_2)\le -\frac{48m}{100}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.4. By symmetry we may assume that $k_2=\min(k_1,k_2)$. The main observation is that we still have the strong lower bound

$$|(\partial_\eta\Phi)(\xi,\eta,\sigma)| = |-\Lambda'(\xi+\eta)+\Lambda'(\xi+\eta+\sigma)| \gtrsim 2^{-k_{\max}/2}.$$

This is easy to see since $|\xi|\ge 2^{-31}$, $|\xi+\sigma|\le 2^{k_2+1}$, and $|\xi+\eta|\approx 2^{k_1}$. Integrating by parts in η and estimating the resulting integrals as in Lemma 5.3 (placing $\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}$ in L^2 and recalling also the restriction $k_{\min}+3k_{\text{med}}\ge -2m(1+10p_0)$, see (5.5)) gives the desired conclusion. □

Lemma 5.5. *The bound* (5.6) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max(|k-k_1|,|k-k_2|,|k-k_3|)\ge 21,\\
&\max(|k_1-k_3|,|k_2-k_3|)\ge 5 \quad \textit{and} \quad \min(k_1,k_2)\ge -\frac{48m}{100} \quad \textit{and} \quad k_3\le -\frac{49m}{100}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.5. In this case we need to integrate by parts in time. Without loss of generality, we may again assume $k_2=\min(k_1,k_2)$, therefore

$$k_3 \le -49m/100 \le -48m/100 \le k_2 \le k_1, \qquad k_1\ge -30. \tag{5.21}$$

Recall that

$$\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)=\Lambda(\xi)-\Lambda(\xi+\eta)-\Lambda(\xi+\sigma)+\Lambda(\xi+\eta+\sigma).$$

For $|\xi+\eta|\approx 2^{k_1}$, $|\xi+\sigma|\approx|\eta|\approx 2^{k_2}$, $|\xi+\eta+\sigma|\approx 2^{k_3}$, with k_1,k_2,k_3 satisfying (5.21), one can use (2.25) to show that

$$|\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)|\geq|\Lambda(\xi)-\Lambda(\xi+\eta)-\Lambda(\eta)|-2^{10}|\xi+\eta+\sigma|2^{k_2/2}\gtrsim 2^{k_2}.$$

Because of the above lower bound we can integrate by parts in s to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2}e^{iL(\xi,s)}I^{++-}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,s)\,ds\Big|\\&\qquad\lesssim|N_1(\xi,t_1)|+|N_1(\xi,t_2)|+\int_{t_1}^{t_2}|N_2(\xi,s)|+|N_3(\xi,s)|+|(\partial_sL)(\xi,s)||N_1(\xi,s)|\,ds,\end{aligned}\tag{5.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}N_1(\xi)&:=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\widehat{f^+_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,\\N_2(\xi)&:=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}(\partial_s\widehat{f^+_{k_1}})(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,\\N_3(\xi)&:=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\widehat{f^+_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)(\partial_s\widehat{f^+_{k_2}})(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma.\end{aligned}\tag{5.23}$$

Notice that we do not get a term containing the time derivative of $\widehat{f^+_{k_3}}$ because $k_3\ll 0$.

To estimate the first term in (5.22) it suffices to use the pointwise bound

$$\Big|\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)}{\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\Big|\lesssim 2^{4k_1}2^{-k_2/2}2^{-k_3/2},\tag{5.24}$$

see (5.11). Using also (5.2)–(5.3) we obtain, for any $s\in[t_1,t_2]$,

$$\begin{aligned}|N_1(\xi,s)|&\lesssim 2^{4k_1}2^{-k_2/2}2^{-k_3/2}\|\widehat{f^+_{k_1}}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\|\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\|\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(s)\|_{L^\infty}2^{k_2}2^{k_3}\\&\lesssim\varepsilon_1^3 2^{k_3(1/2-p_0)}\\&\lesssim\varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m/10}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the definition of L in (4.46) and the apriori assumptions (5.2)–(5.3) show that

$$\big|(\partial_sL)(\xi,s)\big|\lesssim\varepsilon_1^2 2^{-m}.$$

Therefore

$$|N_1(\xi,t_1)|+|N_1(\xi,t_2)|+\int_{t_1}^{t_2}|(\partial_sL)(\xi,s)||N_1(\xi,s)|\,ds\lesssim\varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m/10}.\tag{5.25}$$

Using the same pointwise bound (5.24) on the symbol, and the L^2 bound

$$\big\|(\partial_s\widehat{f^\pm_l})(s)\big\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^2 2^{-l}2^{-m+6p_0m}\mathbf{1}_{[-30,\infty)}(l),\tag{5.26}$$

see (4.25) and (4.20), the term N_2 can be estimated in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned}|N_2(\xi,s)|&\lesssim 2^{4k_1}2^{-k_2/2}2^{-k_3/2}\big\|(\partial_s\widehat{f^+_{k_1}})(s)\big\|_{L^2}\|\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}(s)\|_{L^2}\|\widehat{f^-_{k_3}}(s)\|_{L^\infty}2^{k_3}\\&\lesssim\epsilon_1^3 2^{3k_1}2^{-m+6p_0m}\min(2^{-p_0k_2},2^{-8k_2})2^{k_3(1/2-p_0)}\\&\lesssim\epsilon_1^3 2^{-m}2^{-m/10}.\end{aligned}$$

The integral $|N_3(\xi,s)|$ can be estimated in a similar way. Therefore

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2}|N_2(\xi,s)|+|N_3(\xi,s)|\,ds\lesssim\varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m/10}.$$

The lemma follows using also (5.22) and (5.25). □

Lemma 5.6. *The bound* (5.6) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\max(|k-k_1|,|k-k_2|,|k-k_3|)\geq 21 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \max(|k_1-k_3|,|k_2-k_3|)\leq 4. \tag{5.27}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.6. In this case we have $\min(k_1,k_2,k_3)\geq k+10\geq -20$. In particular, $|\sigma|\approx 2^{k_2}$ and we can integrate by parts in η similarly to what was done before in Lemma 5.3. More precisely, under the assumptions (5.27) we have

$$|(\partial_\eta\Phi)(\xi,\eta,\sigma)| = |-\Lambda'(\xi+\eta)+\Lambda'(\xi+\eta+\sigma)| \gtrsim 2^{k_2/2}\gtrsim 2^{k_{\max}/2}.$$

Integrating by parts in η as in the previous lemma gives

$$|I^{++-}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,s)|\lesssim |K_1(\xi,s)|+|K_2(\xi,s)|+|K_3(\xi,s)|+|K_4(\xi,s)|$$

where the term K_j, $j=1,\dots 4$ are defined in (5.16)-(5.17). The same estimates as before show that $|I^{++-}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(\xi,s)|\lesssim \epsilon_1^3 2^{-m}2^{-200p_0m}$, which suffices to prove the lemma. □

5.2. **Proof of** (5.7)**.** As with the proof of (5.6), we divide the proof of the bound (5.7) into several lemmas. We only consider in detail the case $(\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3)=(--+)$ since the case $(\iota_1\iota_2\iota_3)=(+++)$ is very similar. For simplicity of notation, in this subsection let $\Phi:=\Phi^{--+}$ and $c^*_{\mathbf{k}}:=c^{*,--+}_{\xi;k_1,k_2,k_3}$.

Lemma 5.7. *The bound* (5.7) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\max(|k_1-k_3|,|k_2-k_3|)\geq 5 \quad \text{and} \quad \min(k_1,k_2,k_3)\geq -\frac{49}{100}m. \tag{5.28}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.7. This is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.3. Without loss of generality, by symmetry we can assume that $|k_1-k_3|\geq 5$ and $k_2\leq \max(k_1,k_3)+5$. Under the assumptions (5.28) we still have the strong lower bound

$$|(\partial_\eta\Phi)(\xi,\eta,\sigma)| = |\Lambda'(\xi+\eta)-\Lambda'(\xi+\eta+\sigma)|\gtrsim 2^{k_{\max}/2},$$

and the proof proceeds exactly as in Lemma 5.3, using integration by parts in η. □

Lemma 5.8. *The bound* (5.7) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\max(|k_1-k_3|,|k_2-k_3|)\geq 5 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{med}(k_1,k_2,k_3)\leq -48m/100. \tag{5.29}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.8. This is similar to the situation in Lemma 5.4. By symmetry we may assume that $k_2=\min(k_1,k_2)$. The main observation is that we still have the strong lower bound

$$|(\partial_\eta\Phi)(\xi,\eta,\sigma)| = |-\Lambda'(\xi+\eta)+\Lambda'(\xi+\eta+\sigma)|\gtrsim 2^{k_{\max}/2}.$$

Then we integrate by parts in η and estimate the resulting integrals as in Lemma 5.3 (placing $\widehat{f^+_{k_2}}$ in L^2 and recalling also the restriction $k_{\min}+3k_{\text{med}}\geq -2m(1+10p_0)$, see (5.5)). □

Lemma 5.9. *The bound* (5.7) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\max(|k_1-k_3|,|k_2-k_3|)\geq 5 \quad \text{and} \quad k_{\text{med}}\geq -\frac{48m}{100} \quad \text{and} \quad k_{\min}\leq -\frac{49m}{100}. \tag{5.30}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.9. This is similar to the situation in Lemma 5.5. The main observation is that we have the lower bound

$$|\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)|\gtrsim 2^{k_{\text{med}}},$$

so we can integrate by parts in time and estimate the resulting integrals, as in the proof of Lemma 5.5. □

Lemma 5.10. *The bound* (5.7) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\max(|k-k_1|,|k-k_2|,|k-k_3|)\geq 21 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \max(|k_1-k_3|,|k_2-k_3|)\leq 4. \tag{5.31}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.10. This is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.6, using the observation that $k+10 \leq \min(k_1,k_2,k_3)$, the strong lower bound

$$|(\partial_\eta\Phi)(\xi,\eta,\sigma)| = |\Lambda'(\xi+\eta)-\Lambda'(\xi+\eta+\sigma)| \gtrsim 2^{k_{\max}/2},$$

and integration by parts in η. □

Lemma 5.11. *The bound* (5.7) *holds provided that* (5.5) *holds and, in addition,*

$$\max(|k-k_1|,|k-k_2|,|k-k_3|) \leq 20. \tag{5.32}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.11. This is the main case where there is a substantial difference between the integrals $I^{++-}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}$ and $I^{--+}_{k_1,k_2,k_3}$. The main point is that the phase function Φ^{--+} does not have any spacetime resonances, i.e. there are no (η,σ) solutions of the equations

$$\Phi^{--+}(\xi,\eta,\sigma) = (\partial_\eta\Phi^{--+})(\xi,\eta,\sigma) = (\partial_\sigma\Phi^{--+})(\xi,\eta,\sigma) = 0.$$

For any $l,j\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $l\leq j$ we define

$$\varphi_j^{(l)} := \begin{cases} \varphi_j & \text{if } j\geq l+1,\\ \varphi_{\leq l} & \text{if } j=l.\end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{l} := k-20$ and decompose

$$I^{--+}_{k_1,k_2,k_3} = \sum_{l_1,l_2\in[k-20,k+40]} J_{l_1,l_2},$$

where

$$J_{l_1,l_2}(\xi,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{it\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)} c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\varphi^{(\bar{l})}_{l_1}(\eta)\varphi^{(\bar{l})}_{l_2}(\sigma)\widehat{f^-_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^-_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^+_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma.$$

The contributions of the integrals J_{l_1,l_2} for $(l_1,l_2)\neq(\bar{l},\bar{l})$ can be estimated by integration by parts either in η or in σ (depending on the relative sizes of l_1 and l_2), since the (η,σ) gradient of the phase function Φ is bounded from below by $c2^{k/2}$ in the support of these integrals.

On the other hand, to estimate the contribution of the integral $J_{\bar{l},\bar{l}}$ we notice that $|\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)|\gtrsim 2^{3k/2}$ in the support of the integral and integrate by parts in s. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{iL(\xi,s)}J_{\bar{l},\bar{l}}(\xi,s)\,ds\Big| &\lesssim |L_4(\xi,t_1)| + |L_4(\xi,t_2)|\\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |L_1(\xi,s)| + |L_2(\xi,s)| + |L_3(\xi,s)| + |(\partial_s L)(\xi,s)||L_4(\xi,s)|\,ds,\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

where

$$L_1(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\eta)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}(\partial_s\widehat{f^-_{k_1}})(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^-_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^+_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,$$

$$L_2(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\eta)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\widehat{f^-_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)(\partial_s\widehat{f^-_{k_2}})(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^+_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,$$

$$L_3(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\eta)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\widehat{f^-_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^-_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)(\partial_s\widehat{f^+_{k_3}})(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma,$$

$$L_4(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\eta)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\widehat{f^-_{k_1}}(\xi+\eta)\widehat{f^-_{k_2}}(\xi+\sigma)\widehat{f^+_{k_3}}(-\xi-\eta-\sigma)\,d\eta d\sigma.$$

To estimate the integrals L_1,L_2,L_3,L_4 we notice that

$$\Big\|\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\eta)\varphi_{\leq\bar{l}}(\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\Big\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{3k}.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.2 (ii) and the bounds (5.2)–(5.3) and (5.26),

$$|L_4(\xi,s)| \lesssim \Big\|\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\varphi_{\le \bar{l}}(\eta)\varphi_{\le \bar{l}}(\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\Big\|_{S^\infty}\|\widehat{f^-_{k_1}}(s)\|_{L^2}\|e^{is\Lambda}f^-_{k_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\|\widehat{f^+_{k_3}}(s)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-m/4},$$

and

$$|L_1(\xi,s)| \lesssim \Big\|\frac{c^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta,\sigma)\varphi_{\le \bar{l}}(\eta)\varphi_{\le \bar{l}}(\sigma)}{i\Phi(\xi,\eta,\sigma)}\Big\|_{S^\infty}\|(\partial_s\widehat{f^-_{k_1}})(s)\|_{L^2}\|e^{is\Lambda}f^-_{k_2}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\|\widehat{f^+_{k_3}}(s)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 2^{-5m/4}.$$

The bounds on $|L_2(\xi,s)|$ and $L_3(\xi,s)|$ are similar to the bound on $|L_1(\xi,s)|$. Recalling also the bound $\big|(\partial_s L)(\xi,s)\big| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 2^{-m}$, see the definition (4.46), it follows that the right-hand side of (5.33) is dominated by $C\epsilon_1^3 2^{-m/10}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

5.3. **Proof of** (5.8)**.** We show now how to bound the quartic contributions $\mathcal{R}_{\ge 4}$. We rely mostly on elliptic estimates. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_u := Q_0(u+\overline{u},u), \qquad \mathcal{N}_v := Q_0(v+\overline{v},v)$$

and recall the definition (4.38)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{\ge 4} =&[A(\mathcal{N}_u,u) - A(\mathcal{N}_v,v)] + [A(u,\mathcal{N}_u) - A(v,\mathcal{N}_v)]\\ &+[B(\overline{\mathcal{N}_u},u) - B(\overline{\mathcal{N}_v},v)] + [B(\overline{u},\mathcal{N}_u) - B(\overline{v},\mathcal{N}_v)].\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Recall the bounds, which hold for any $l\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_l u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|P_l v(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1(1+t)^{p_0}\min\big[2^{-(N-1/2)l}, 2^{l(1/2-p_0)}\big],\\ \|P_l u(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|P_l v(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \epsilon_1(1+t)^{-1/2}2^{-4\max(l,0)},\\ \|P_l Su(t)\|_{L^2} + \|P_l Sv(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1(1+t)^{4p_0}\min\big[2^{-3l/2}, 2^{l(1/2-p_0)}\big],\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_l(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-3/8+6p_0}2^{-(N+3)k/2},\\ \|P_l(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-3/4+2p_0}2^{-7l/2},\\ \|P_l S(u(t)-v(t))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1/4+6p_0}2^{-3l/2},\\ P_l(u(t)-v(t)) &= 0 \qquad \text{if } l\le -30.\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

see (1.21)–(1.23), Lemma 4.2, and Remark 4.3. We prove first similar bounds on the functions $\mathcal{N}_u, \mathcal{N}_v, \mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v$.

Lemma 5.12. *For any $t\in[0,T]$ and $l\in\mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_l\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|P_l\mathcal{N}_v(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{p_0-1/2}2^{-(N-3/2)l},\\ \|P_l\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|P_l\mathcal{N}_v(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1}2^{-3l},\\ \|P_l S\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|P_l S\mathcal{N}_v(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{4p_0-1/2}2^{-l/2},\\ P_l\mathcal{N}_u(t) = P_l\mathcal{N}_v(t) &= 0 \qquad \textit{if } l\le -30.\end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_l(\mathcal{N}_u(t)-\mathcal{N}_v(t))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{-7/8+6p_0}2^{-3l},\\ \|P_l(\mathcal{N}_u(t)-\mathcal{N}_v(t))\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{-5/4+2p_0}2^{-5l/2},\\ \|P_l S(\mathcal{N}_u(t)-\mathcal{N}_v(t))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{-3/4+8p_0}2^{-l/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.12. We use Lemma 2.2 (ii) and the S^∞ bound (2.22),

$$\|q_0^{k,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{k_1/2}2^{k_2}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2). \tag{5.39}$$

We also use the formulas (compare with (4.13))

$$\begin{aligned} S[Q_0(u+\overline{u},u)] &= Q_0(Su+S\overline{u},u)+Q_0(u+\overline{u},Su)-\widetilde{Q}_0(u+\overline{u},u),\\ S[Q_0(v+\overline{v},v)] &= Q_0(Sv+S\overline{v},v)+Q_0(v+\overline{v},Sv)-\widetilde{Q}_0(v+\overline{v},v), \end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

where $\widetilde{Q}_0$ is the bilinear operator associated to the multiplier

$$\widetilde{q}_0(\xi,\eta) := (\xi\partial_\xi+\eta\partial_\eta)q_0(\xi,\eta). \tag{5.41}$$

We estimate, as in Lemma 3.3, for $l \geq -30$,

$$\|P_l\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_0^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{p_0-1/2}2^{-(N-3/2)l},$$

and similarly

$$\|P_l\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_0^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{-1}2^{-3l}.$$

Similarly we estimate

$$\|P_lQ_0(u+\overline{u},Su)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_0^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}Su(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{4p_0-1/2}2^{-l/2},$$

and

$$\|P_lQ_0(Su+S\overline{u},u)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_0^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}Su(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{4p_0-1/2}2^{-3l}.$$

By homogeneity, the sysmbol $\widetilde{q}_0$ satisfies the same S^∞ bounds as the symbol q_0, see (5.39). Therefore, as before

$$\|P_l\widetilde{Q}_0(u+\overline{u},u)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{p_0-1/2}2^{-(N-3/2)l}.$$

The last three inequalities and the formulas (5.40) show that

$$\|P_lS\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2(1+t)^{4p_0-1/2}2^{-l/2}.$$

Similar estimates hold for the function $\mathcal{N}_v$ (since the bounds for u and v in (5.35) are identical), so the bounds (5.37) follow.

We prove now the bounds (5.38). In view of the definition,

$$\mathcal{N}_u-\mathcal{N}_v = Q_0(u+\overline{u},u-v)+Q_0(u+\overline{u}-v-\overline{v},v). \tag{5.42}$$

Then we estimate, using (5.35)–(5.36),

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_lQ_0(u+\overline{u},u-v)(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_0^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}(u-v)(t)\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{-7/8+6p_0}2^{-Nl/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_lQ_0(u+\overline{u}-v-\overline{v},v)(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|q_0^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}(u-v)(t)\|_{L^2}\|P'_{k_2}v(t)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^3(1+t)^{-7/8+6p_0}2^{-3l}. \end{aligned}$$

The bound in the first line of (5.38) follows.

The proof of the bound in the second line of (5.38) is similar, using also the identity (5.42). The proof of the last bound in (5.38) is also similar, using both identities (5.40) and (5.42). □

We prove now similar bounds on the function $\mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}$.

Lemma 5.13. *For any $t \in [0,T]$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_l \mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-9/8+10p_0} 2^{-2l}, \\ \|P_l S\mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-1+20p_0}, \\ P_l \mathcal{R}_{\geq 4}(t) &= 0 \qquad \text{if } l \leq -30. \end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.13. We examine the formula (5.34) and concentrated on the term $[A(u,\mathcal{N}_u) - A(v,\mathcal{N}_v)]$. We rewrite

$$A(u,\mathcal{N}_u) - A(v,\mathcal{N}_v) = A(u-v,\mathcal{N}_u) + A(v,\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v).$$

Then we estimate, using (4.10) and (5.35)–(5.38),

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|P_l A(u-v,\mathcal{N}_u)(t)\big\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|a^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \|P'_{k_1}(u-v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P'_{k_2}\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-5/4+6p_0} 2^{-4l} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|P_l A(v,\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v)(t)\big\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|a^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \|P'_{k_1}v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P'_{k_2}(\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v)(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-7/8+6p_0} \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2) 2^{(k_2-k_1)/2} 2^{-3k_2} \min[(1+t)^{-1/2}, (1+t)^{p_0} 2^{k_1(1-p_0)}] \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-9/8+10p_0} 2^{-2l}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\big\|P_l\big[A(u,\mathcal{N}_u) - A(v,\mathcal{N}_v)\big](t)\big\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-9/8+10p_0} 2^{-2l}. \tag{5.44}$$

Similarly, using also (4.13),

$$\begin{aligned} S[A(u,\mathcal{N}_u) - A(v,\mathcal{N}_v)] &= A(S(u-v),\mathcal{N}_u) + A(u-v,S\mathcal{N}_u) - \widetilde{A}(u-v,\mathcal{N}_u) \\ &\quad + A(Sv,\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v) + A(v,S(\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v)) - \widetilde{A}(v,\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v). \end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate, using (4.10) and (5.35)–(5.38),

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|P_l A(S(u-v),\mathcal{N}_u)(t)\big\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|a^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \|P'_{k_1}S(u-v)(t)\|_{L^2} \|P'_{k_2}\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-5/4+10p_0} 2^{-2l}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|P_l A(u-v,S\mathcal{N}_u)(t)\big\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \|a^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \|P'_{k_1}(u-v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P'_{k_2}S\mathcal{N}_u(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-5/4+10p_0} 2^{-2l}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|P_l A(Sv,\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v)(t)\big\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1}\geq(1+t)^{-4}} \|a^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} \|P'_{k_1}Sv(t)\|_{L^2} \|P'_{k_2}(\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z},\, 2^{k_1}\leq(1+t)^{-4}} \|a^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty} 2^{k_1/2} \|P'_{k_1}Sv(t)\|_{L^2} \|P'_{k_2}(\mathcal{N}_u - \mathcal{N}_v)(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_1^4 (1+t)^{-5/4+10p_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|P_l A(v,S(\mathcal{N}_u-\mathcal{N}_v))(t)\big\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\|a^{l,k_1,k_2}\|_{S^\infty}\|P'_{k_1}v(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|P'_{k_2}S(\mathcal{N}_u-\mathcal{N}_v)(t)\|_{L^2}\\&\lesssim\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-3/4+8p_0}\sum_{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{15}(k,k_1,k_2)2^{(k_2-k_1)/2}2^{-k_2/2}\min[(1+t)^{-1/2},(1+t)^{p_0}2^{k_1(1-p_0)}]\\&\lesssim\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-1+20p_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as in (5.44),

$$\big\|P_l\big[\widetilde{A}(u,\mathcal{N}_u)-\widetilde{A}(v,\mathcal{N}_v)\big](t)\big\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-9/8+10p_0}2^{-2l},$$

since a and $\widetilde{a}$ satisfy identical symbol-type estimates. Therefore,

$$\big\|P_l S\big[A(u,\mathcal{N}_u)-A(v,\mathcal{N}_v)\big](t)\big\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^4(1+t)^{-1+20p_0}. \tag{5.45}$$

The term $B(\overline{u},\mathcal{N}_u)-B(\overline{v},\mathcal{N}_v)$ in (5.34) can be estimated in a similar way, since a and b satisfy identical symbol-type estimates. The terms $A(\mathcal{N}_u,u)-A(\mathcal{N}_v,v)$ and $B(\overline{\mathcal{N}_u},u)-B(\overline{\mathcal{N}_v},v)$ are easier to estimate because there are no low frequency contributions. The desired conclusion of the lemma follows. □

We can now complete the proof of the main estimate (5.8).

Lemma 5.14. *Assume that $k\in[-30,20p_0m]$, $|\xi_0|\in[2^k,2^{k+1}]$, $m\geq1$, $t_1\leq t_2\in[2^m-2,2^{m+1}]\cap[0,T']$. Then*

$$\Big|\varphi_k(\xi_0)\int_{t_1}^{t_2}e^{iL(\xi_0,s)}e^{is|\xi_0|^{3/2}}\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq4}}(\xi_0,s)\,ds\Big|\lesssim\epsilon_1^3 2^{-200p_0m}. \tag{5.46}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.14. Let

$$F(\xi):=\varphi_k(\xi)\int_{t_1}^{t_2}e^{iL(\xi_0,s)}e^{is|\xi|^{3/2}}\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq4}}(\xi,s)\,ds. \tag{5.47}$$

In view of Lemma A.2, it suffices to prove that

$$2^{-k}\|F\|_{L^2}\big[2^k\|\partial F\|_{L^2}+\|F\|_{L^2}\big]\lesssim\epsilon_1^6 2^{-400p_0m}.$$

Since $\|F\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^4 2^{-(1/8-10p_0)m}2^{-2k}$, see the first inequality in (5.43), it suffices to prove that

$$2^k\|\partial F\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^4 2^{3k}2^{(1/8-500p_0)m}. \tag{5.48}$$

To prove (5.48) we write

$$|\xi\partial_\xi F(\xi)|\leq|F_1(\xi)|+|F_2(\xi)|+|F_3(\xi)|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F_1(\xi)&:=\xi(\partial_\xi\varphi_k)(\xi)\int_{t_1}^{t_2}e^{iL(\xi_0,s)}\big[e^{is|\xi|^{3/2}}\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq4}}(\xi,s)\big]\,ds,\\F_2(\xi)&:=\varphi_k(\xi)\int_{t_1}^{t_2}e^{iL(\xi_0,s)}\big[\xi\partial_\xi-(3/2)s\partial_s\big]\big[e^{is|\xi|^{3/2}}\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq4}}(\xi,s)\big]\,ds,\\F_3(\xi)&:=\frac{3}{2}\varphi_k(\xi)\int_{t_1}^{t_2}e^{iL(\xi_0,s)}s\partial_s\big[e^{is|\xi|^{3/2}}\widehat{\mathcal{R}_{\geq4}}(\xi,s)\big]\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.43) and the commutation identity $\big[\big[\xi\partial_\xi-(3/2)s\partial_s\big],e^{is|\xi|^{3/2}}\big]=0$, we have

$$\|F_1\|_{L^2}+\|F_2\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^4 2^{3k}2^{30p_0m}.$$

Moreover, using integration by parts in s and the bound $\big|\partial_s\big[e^{iL(\xi_0,s)}\big]\big|\lesssim2^{-m}$, see the definition (4.46), we can also estimate $\|F_3\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_1^4 2^{3k}2^{30p_0m}$. The desired bound (5.48) follows. □

Appendix A. Auxiliary estimates

The following lemma is our main linear dispersive estimate:

Lemma A.1. *For any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\|e^{it\Lambda} P_k f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2} 2^{k/4} \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^\infty} + |t|^{-3/5} 2^{-2k/5} \big[2^k \|\partial \widehat{f}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}\big] \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\|e^{it\Lambda} P_k f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2} 2^{k/4} \|f\|_{L^1}. \tag{A.2}$$

Proof of Lemma A.1. This is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [32]. By scale invariance we may assume that $k = 0$. The bound (A.2) is a standard dispersive estimate. For (A.1) it suffices to prove that

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it|\xi|^{3/2}} e^{ix\xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi)\, d\xi \Big| \lesssim |t|^{-1/2} \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^\infty} + |t|^{-3/5} \big[\|\partial \widehat{f}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}\big] \tag{A.3}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly,

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it|\xi|^{3/2}} e^{ix\xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi)\, d\xi \Big| \lesssim \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore in proving (A.3) we may assume $|t| \geq 2^{10}$.

Let $\Psi(\xi) := t|\xi|^{3/2} + x\xi$ and notice that

$$\Psi'(\xi) = \frac{3}{2} t\xi |\xi|^{-1/2} + x \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\Psi''(\xi)| \approx |t||\xi|^{-1/2}. \tag{A.4}$$

If $|x| \notin [|t|2^{-10}, |t|2^{10}]$ then we integrate by parts in ξ to estimate the left-hand side of (A.3) by

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|t|} \big[|\partial \widehat{f}(\xi)| + |\widehat{f}(\xi)|\big] \varphi_0'(\xi)\, d\xi \lesssim |t|^{-1} \big[\|\partial \widehat{f}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}\big],$$

which suffices to prove (A.3), in view of the assumption $|t| \geq 1$.

It remains to prove the bound (A.3) when $|x| \in [|t|2^{-10}, |t|2^{10}]$. Let $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the unique solution of the equation $\Psi'(\xi) = 0$, i.e.

$$\xi_0 := \mathrm{sign}(-x/t) \frac{4x^2}{9t^2}.$$

and notice that $|\xi_0| \approx 1$. Let l_0 denote the smallest integer with the property that $2^{l_0} \geq |t|^{-1/2}$ and estimate

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it|\xi|^{3/2}} e^{ix\xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi)\, d\xi \Big| \leq \sum_{l=l_0}^{100} |J_l|, \tag{A.5}$$

where, for any $l \geq l_0$,

$$J_l := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\xi)} \cdot \widehat{P_0 f}(\xi) \varphi_l^{(l_0)}(\xi - \xi_0)\, d\xi.$$

Clearly

$$|J_{l_0}| \lesssim 2^{l_0} \|\widehat{P_0 f}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2} \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Moreover, since $|\Psi'(\xi)| \gtrsim |t| 2^l$ whenever $|\xi| \approx 1$ and $|\xi - \xi_0| \approx 2^l$, for $l \geq l_0 + 1$ we can integrate by parts to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |J_l| &\lesssim \frac{1}{|t| 2^l} \big[2^{-l} \|\widehat{P_0 f}(\xi) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,2^{l+4}]}(|\xi - \xi_0|)\|_{L^1_\xi} + \|\partial(\widehat{P_0 f})(\xi) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,2^{l+4}]}(|\xi - \xi_0|)\|_{L^1_\xi}\big] \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-1} 2^{-l} \big[\|\widehat{P_0 f}\|_{L^\infty_\xi} + 2^{l/2} \|\partial(\widehat{P_0 f})\|_{L^2}\big]. \end{aligned}$$

The desired bound (A.3) follows from (A.5) and the last two estimates. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We also need the following interpolation lemma:

Lemma A.2. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\big\|\widehat{P_k f}\big\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \big\|P_k f\big\|_{L^1}^2 \lesssim 2^{-k}\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}\big[2^k\|\partial\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}\big]. \tag{A.6}$$

Proof of Lemma A.2. By scale invariance we may assume that $k = 0$. It suffices to prove that

$$\big\|P_0 f\big\|_{L^1}^2 \lesssim \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}\big[\|\partial\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}\big]. \tag{A.7}$$

For $R \geq 1$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|P_0 f\big\|_{L^1} &\lesssim \int_{|x|\leq R} |P_0 f(x)|\,dx + \int_{|x|\geq R} |xP_0 f(x)| \cdot \frac{1}{|x|}\,dx \\ &\lesssim R^{1/2}\|P_0 f\|_{L^2} + R^{-1/2}\|xP_0 f(x)\|_{L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim R^{1/2}\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} + R^{-1/2}\big[\|\partial\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}\big].\end{aligned}$$

The desired estimate (A.7) follows by choosing R suitably. □

References

[1] Alazard, T., Burq, N. and Zuily, C. On the water waves equations with surface tension. *Duke Math. J.*, 158 (2011), no. 3, 413-499.

[2] Alazard, T., Burq, N. and Zuily, C. On the Cauchy problem for gravity water waves. *Invent. Math.*, to appear. *arXiv:1212.0626*.

[3] Alazard, T., Burq, N. and Zuily, C. Strichartz estimates and the Cauchy problem for the gravity water waves equations. Preprint. *arXiv:1404.4276*.

[4] Alazard, T. and Delort, J.M. Global solutions and asymptotic behavior for two dimensional gravity water waves. Preprint. *arXiv:1305.4090*.

[5] Alazard, T. and Delort, J.M. Sobolev estimates for two dimensional gravity water waves Preprint. *arXiv:1307.3836*.

[6] Alazard, T. and Métivier, G. Paralinearization of the Dirichlet to Neumann operator, and regularity of three-dimensional water waves. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 34 (2009), no. 10-12, 1632-1704.

[7] Ambrose, D.M. and Masmoudi, N. The zero surface tension limit of two-dimensional water waves. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 58 (2005), no. 10, 1287-1315.

[8] Beyer, K. and Günther, M. On the Cauchy problem for a capillary drop. I. Irrotational motion. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 21 (1998), no. 12, 1149-1183.

[9] Castro, A., Córdoba, D., Fefferman, C., Gancedo, F. and Gómez-Serrano, J. Finite time singularities for the free boundary incompressible Euler equations. *Ann. of Math.*, 178 (2013), 1061-1134.

[10] Castro, A., Córdoba, D., Fefferman, C., Gancedo, F. and López-Fernández, M. Rayleigh-Taylor breakdown for the Muskat problem with applications to water waves. *Ann. of Math.*, 175 (2012), 909-948.

[11] Christianson, H., Hur, V. and Staffilani, G. Strichartz estimates for the water-wave problem with surface tension. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35 (2010), no. 12, 2195-2252.

[12] Christodoulou, D. and Lindblad, H. On the motion of the free surface of a liquid. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53 (2000), no. 12, 1536-1602.

[13] Colliander, J., Keel, M., Staffilani, G., Takaoka, H. and Tao, T. Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified KdV on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{T}$. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16 (2003), no. 3, 705-749.

[14] Colliander, J., Keel, M., Staffilani, G., Takaoka, H. and Tao, T. Resonant decompositions and the I-method for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 21 (2008), no. 3, 665-686.

[15] Coutand, D. and Shkoller, S. Well-posedness of the free-surface incompressible Euler equations with or without surface tension. *Journal of. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20 (2007), no. 3, 829-930.

[16] Coutand, D. and Shkoller, S. On the finite-time splash and splat singularities for the 3-D free-surface Euler equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 325 (2014), 143-183.

[17] Craig, W. An existence theory for water waves and the Boussinesq and Korteweg-de Vries scaling limits. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 10 (1985), no. 8, 787-1003

[18] Craig, W. and Sulem, C. Numerical simulation of gravity waves. *Jour. of Comp. Physics* 108 (1993), 73-83.

[19] Delort, J.M. Existence globale et comportement asymptotique pour l' équation de Klein-Gordon quasi-linéaire à données petites en dimension 1. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* 34 (2001) 1-61. Erratum: "Global existence and

asymptotic behavior for the quasilinear Klein-Gordon equation with small data in dimension 1" *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 39 (2006), no. 2, 335-345

[20] Deng, Y., Ionescu, A. and Pausader, B. The Euler-Maxwell system for electrons: global solutions in 2D. Preprint (2014).

[21] Germain, P. and Masmoudi, N. Global existence for the Euler-Maxwell system. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, to appear. *arXiv:1107.1595*.

[22] Germain, P., Masmoudi, N. and Shatah, J. Global solutions for the gravity surface water waves equation in dimension 3. *Ann. of Math.*, 175 (2012), 691-754.

[23] Germain, P., Masmoudi, N. and Shatah, J. Global solutions for capillary waves equation in dimension 3. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, to appear. *arXiv:1210.1601*.

[24] Guo, Y., Ionescu, A. and Pausader, B. Global solutions of the Euler-Maxwell two-fluid system in 3D. Preprint *arXiv:1303.1060* .

[25] Gustafson, S., Nakanishi, K. and Tsai, T. Scattering for the Gross-Pitaevsky equation in 3 dimensions. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 11 (2009), no. 4, 657-707.

[26] Hayashi, N. and Naumkin, P. Asymptotics for large time of solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger and Hartree equations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 120 (1998), 369-389.

[27] Hunter, J., Ifrim, M., Tataru, D. and Wong, D. Long time Solutions for a Burgers-Hilbert Equation via a Modified Energy Method. Preprint *arXiv:1301.1947*.

[28] Hunter, J., Ifrim, M. and Tataru, D. Two dimensional water waves in holomorphic coordinates. Preprint *arXiv:1401.1252*.

[29] Ifrim, M. and Tataru, D. Two dimensional water waves in holomorphic coordinates II: global solutions. Preprint *arXiv:1404.7583*.

[30] Ionescu, A., Fefferman, C. and Lie, V. On the absence of "splash" singularities in the case of two-fluid interfaces. Preprint *arXiv:1312.2917*.

[31] Ionescu, A. and Pausader, B. Global solutions of quasilinear systems of Klein-Gordon equations in 3D. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, to appear. *arXiv:1208.2661*.

[32] Ionescu, A. and Pusateri, F. Nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in one dimension. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266 (2014), 139-176.

[33] Ionescu, A. and Pusateri, F. Global solutions for the gravity water waves system in 2D. *Invent. Math.*, to appear. *arXiv:1303.5357*.

[34] Ionescu, A. and Pusateri, F. A note on the asymptotic behavior of gravity water waves in two dimensions. Unpublished note. https://web.math.princeton.edu/ fabiop/2dWWasym-f.pdf.

[35] Kato, J. and Pusateri, F. A new proof of long range scattering for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Diff. Int. Equations*, 24 (2011), no. 9-10, 923-940.

[36] Klainerman, S. The null condition and global existence for systems of wave equations. *Nonlinear systems of partial differential equations in applied mathematics, Part 1 (Santa Fe, N.M., 1984)*, 293-326. Lectures in Appl. Math., 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

[37] Lannes, D. Well-posedness of the water waves equations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (2005), no. 3, 605-654.

[38] Lindblad, H. Well-posedness for the motion of an incompressible liquid with free surface boundary. *Ann. of Math.*, 162 (2005), no. 1, 109-194.

[39] Nalimov, V. I. The Cauchy-Poisson problem. *Dinamika Splosn. Sredy Vyp.* 18 Dinamika Zidkost. so Svobod. Granicami (1974), 10-210, 254.

[40] Pusateri, F. Modified scattering for the Boson Star equation. *Comm. Math. Physics*, to appear. *arXiv:1308.6600*.

[41] Shatah, J. Normal forms and quadratic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 38 (1985), no. 5, 685-696.

[42] Shatah, J. and Zeng, C. Geometry and a priori estimates for free boundary problems of the Euler equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 61 (2008), no. 5, 698-744.

[43] Shatah, J. and Zeng, C. Local well-posedness for the fluid interface problem. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 199 (2011), no. 2, 653-705.

[44] Sulem, C. and Sulem, P.L. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Self-focussing and wave collapse. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 139. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[45] Yosihara, H. Gravity waves on the free surface of an incompressible perfect fluid of finite depth. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 18 (1982), 49-96.

[46] Wu, S. Well-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the full water wave problem in 2-D. *Invent. Math.*, 130 (1997), 39-72.

[47] Wu, S. Well-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the full water wave problem in 3-D. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12 (1999), 445-495.

[48] Wu, S. Almost global wellposedness of the 2-D full water wave problem. *Invent. Math.*, 177 (2009), 45-135.

[49] Wu, S. Global wellposedness of the 3-D full water wave problem. *Invent. Math.*, 184 (2011), 125-220.

Princeton University
E-mail address: aionescu@math.princeton.edu

Princeton University
E-mail address: fabiop@math.princeton.edu